```
STATE OF MINNESOTA
1
                                       DISTRICT COURT
                        SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2 COUNTY OF RAMSEY
    _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _
3
   The State of Minnesota,
 4
    by Hubert H. Humphrey, III,
 5
    its attorney general,
 6
7
    and
8
   Blue Cross and Blue Shield
    of Minnesota,
9
10
                      Plaintiffs,
                                   File No. C1-94-8565
11
             vs.
12
   Philip Morris Incorporated, R.J.
13
    Reynolds Tobacco Company, Brown
14
   & Williamson Tobacco Corporation,
   B.A.T. Industries P.L.C., Lorillard
15
16 Tobacco Company, The American
17 Tobacco Company, Liggett Group, Inc.,
18 The Council for Tobacco Research-U.S.A.,
19
    Inc., and The Tobacco Institute, Inc.,
20
                     Defendants.
    2.1
22
                 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
23
                VOLUME 8, PAGES 1363 - 1518
24
                     JANUARY 29, 1998
25
                  STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
           DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                 1364
                   PROCEEDINGS.
1
 2
             THE CLERK: All rise. Ramsey County
3 District Court is again in session, the Honorable
 4 Kenneth J. Fitzpatrick now presiding.
              (Jury enters the courtroom.)
 5
              THE CLERK: Please be seated.
 6
7
             (Witness resume stand.)
             THE COURT: Good morning.
8
9
             (Collective "Good morning." )
10
             THE COURT: I apologize for the delay. I
11 was at the swearing in or our new Chief Justice, and
12 as you might suspect, lawyers tend to get wordy, and
13
   that's why I was late.
14
        Counsel.
15
             MR. CIRESI: A shock, Your Honor.
16
             (Laughter.)
17
             THE WITNESS: I noticed.
18 BY MR. CIRESI:
19
   Q. Doctor, when we recessed yesterday, we were
20
    addressing the issue of the defendants' research into
21
    nicotine technology, specifically as it related to
22
    the pH of nicotine. Do you recall that?
23 A. I do.
24 Q. Okay. Could you turn, please, to Exhibit 12505
25 in volume one of the exhibit books in front of you.
                 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
           DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                 1365
1
   A. Okay.
   Q. This is an RJR document dated September 8th,
 3 1980 to Dr. Roy E. Morse, M-o-r-s-e, vice-president
```

```
the Horrigan, H-o-r-r-i-g-a-n, memo. Mr. Horrigan
 5
    was at one point president of RJR. And it's from
 6
7
    Alan Rodgman.
         Have you reviewed this document?
8
9
         I have.
        This is one of the documents you reviewed that
10
    Ο.
    forms the basis of your opinions?
11
12
    A. It is.
13
    Q.
         Is it representative of other documents that you
14
    reviewed on this issue?
    A. It -- it does.
15
              MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
16
17
    Exhibit 12505.
              MR. BERNICK: No objection, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Court will receive Exhibit
19
20
   12505.
21
   BY MR. CIRESI:
    Q. The first page of this indicates it's from Alan
23
    Rodgman, dated September 8th, 1980, to Roy E. Morse,
24
    the subject is "Clarification of 07/22/80 Memo on
    Nicotine Additive." And in the introductory
25
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    paragraph Mr. Rodgman states that Roy Morse could
    forward this to Mr. Horrigan and Mr. Tompson, and if
    there's parts that are still not clear, he would get
 3
 4
    back to him.
 5
         I want to direct your attention then to the next
    page -- that's the cover memo -- and go to the memo
 6
7
    itself.
8
         Can we see the whole page, please?
         If you would then direct your attention, sir, to
9
    the first paragraph, it states, "My July 22
10
11
    memo assumed that the reader was familiar with
    PM's" -- which is Philip Morris -- and our past
12
13
    activities in developing nicotine technology. To put
14
    the information in that memo in perspective, the
    following background is presented:
          "Development of nicotine technology involves the
16
     study of a host of factors, chief among which are
17
          "a. 'Tar' delivery.
18
19
          "b. Nicotine delivery.
          "c. T/N ratio."
20
21
         What does that refer to?
22
   A. Tar-and-nicotine ratio.
23
   Q. And "d. Nicotine satisfaction: This is
24
    dependent on puff count, puff volume, T/N ratio,
25
    total nicotine delivery, nicotine delivery per puff,
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    plus 'free' nicotine per puff. This latter in turn
 1
    is related to nicotine delivery per puff and smoke
 2
 3
         And then there's a footnote down below, "a
 4
 5
    Most, if not all, nicotine in tobacco is present at a
    salt, the reaction product of nicotine and an acid,
 7
   generally referred to as 'bound' nicotine; most of
 8 the nicotine in smoke is present as a salt or 'bound'
```

of R&D, regarding a memo on nicotine additive from

```
form and a small fraction is present as 'free'
    nicotine. The percentage 'free' nicotine depends on
10
    the smoke pH. 'Free' nicotine is absorbed more
11
12
    rapidly by the smoker than is 'bound' nicotine."
         Now with respect to that subject matter, free
13
14
    nicotine and the rapid absorption, is that consistent
15
    with what you testified to here earlier in your
    understanding of the potential of free nicotine to
16
    get into the blood system faster?
17
18
    A. It is. And -- and as I said, I think I said
    yesterday the addictive potential of an addictive
19
20 drug relates to how fast it gets in, the
    concentrations that -- that reach the brain.
2.1
22
    Q. Doctor, I'd like to direct your attention to the
    last paragraph on this page, and specifically the
23
24
    last phrase of the last sentence, which reads as
25
    follows: "...our approach has been primarily one of
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    controlling the smoke parameters noted above by blend
    formulation and denicotinization rather than by
 3
    addition or transposition of nicotine."
         Did you investigate any part of that, how -- the
 4
 5
    blend formulations and denicotinization of RJR or any
    of the other defendants?
 6
        No. That's really outside the scope of what I
7
    did. I really was focused on nicotine, nicotine
8
9
    delivery, the addictive properties and the free base
10
   nicotine as it relates to addiction.
11 Q. Okay. Thank you.
12
         Can you direct your attention now, doctor, to
    Exhibit 10095, which is in the same volume.
13
14
    A. Okay.
    Q. This is a Lorillard document dated February 8th,
15
    1973 from A. M. Ihrig, I-h-r-i-g, a senior research
16
    scientist, to Mr. C. L. Tucker, Jr., director of
17
18
    product development.
19
         Have you reviewed this document in preparing to
20 testify?
21 A. Yes, I have.
        Is it one of the documents you're relying on to
22
    Q.
23
    form part of the basis of your opinion?
24
    A. It is.
25
    Q. Is the information therein consistent with and
                  STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1369
    representative of other documents that you reviewed
 2
    of the defendants during the course of your
 3
    investigation?
    A. It is.
 4
 5
              MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
    Exhibit 10095.
 6
7
              MR. BERNICK: No objection.
 8
              THE COURT: The court will receive 10095
    BY MR. CIRESI:
9
10 Q. The first page is -- first along the right-hand
    column we've got "CONFIDENTIAL: MINNESOTA TOBACCO
11
12 LITIGATION, " and the title of this document is "pH of
13
    Particulate Phase."
```

```
What's particulate phase, doctor?
15
   A. Well it's the tar aerosol mixture, basically
    water, tar and other -- other chemicals in the
16
17
    particulate phase. It's the phase you can actually
    see when you blow smoke outl, those are the
19
    particles.
    Q. And the summary of the abstract says, "The pH of
20
21
    the particulate matter for wide variety of little
22
    cigars, cigarettes special tobaccos were determined.
23
    Some of the factors affecting smoke pH are
    discussed."
24
25
         And this memorandum went to a number of
                  STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    individuals, one of whom is Dr. A. W. Spears, who is
1
    the current CEO of Lorillard.
         Could you turn, then, to the second page of this
 4
    document, and I'd like to direct your attention to
    the first paragraph. If we could first see the whole
 5
    page, and then we'll pull up the first paragraph.
 6
          "The pH of tobacco smoke significantly affects
 7
8
    the aroma and physiological properties of cigarettes
    and cigars. Generally speaking, cigarette smoke is
9
10
    acidic with a pH between 5 to 6.8 while cigar smoke
    is basic, having a pH in the range of 7.0 to 8.5.
11
12 Nicotine in alkaline cigar smoke is more readily
    absorbed in the lungs and mouth because of the higher
13
    concentration of nicotine in the form -- in the free
15
    or unprotonated form."
        Is "unprotonated" another term for free
16
17 nicotine?
18 A. That's correct.
    Q. And is this document consistent with documents
19
20
    of the defendants that you reviewed?
21
    A. Yes, it is.
22
         And if you go into the next paragraph, the last
    Ο.
23
    sentence, there's a reference to, "Furthermore, the
24 cigarette brands which are enjoying the largest sales
25 increase generally have smoke pH's in the 6.5 to 7.0
                  STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    range."
1
         Did you find any other references to the sales
 2
    related to the pH factor of cigarettes during your
    review of the defendants' memoranda and
 4
 5
    correspondence?
 6
    A. There were many such documents.
         If you could, now, doctor, I'd like you to go
 7
    Q.
    back to a document that we reviewed yesterday -- it's
 8
9
    in the same volume, it's Exhibit 13155 -- and you'll
10
    recall that was a secret memorandum by Mr.
    Teague -- or Dr. Teague of RJR.
11
12
        Okay.
    Α.
    Q. Again, the title of that document is
13
    "IMPLICATIONS AND ACTIVITIES ARISING FROM THE
14
15
   CORRELATION OF SMOKE PH WITH NICOTINE IMPACT, OTHER
16
    SMOKE QUALITIES, AND CIGARETTE SALES."
17
         Can you turn to the page which has Roman numeral
18
    II, "HISTORICAL DATA, TRENDS, AND BRAND COMPARISONS."
```

- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. First of all, is there reported here by Dr.
- 21 Teague that there was -- he was noticing a difference
- 22 between the pH between Marlboro brands and his own
- 23 brands?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And is that in the first paragraph where he STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 reports, "It soon became apparent that in recent
- 2 years, corresponding to recent sales performance, the
- 3 most significant difference between our brands,"
- 4 that's RJR, "and Philip Morris brands and Kool has
- 5 been in the area of smoke pH?"
- 6 A. That's correct. That's what it says.
- 7 Q. And in this memoranda, in that section Roman
- 8 numeral II, does Dr. Teague report that from RJR's
- 9 standpoint all evidence indicates that the relatively
- 10 high smoke pH shown by Marlboro and other Philip
- 11 Morris brands and Kool is deliberate and controlled?
- 12 A. That's what it says.
- 13 Q. And that's at the -- I guess it's about the
- 14 second-to-the-last paragraph; is that correct?
- 15 A. That's right.
- 16 Q. Second-to-the-last sentence.
- 17 A. Second-to-the-last sentence, right.
- 18 $\,$ Q. And can you turn, then, to the next page -- we
- 19 saw a part of that yesterday, -- under Roman numeral
- 20 III, "SMOKE pH AND 'FREE' NICOTINE." And does Dr.
- 21 Teague report in that paragraph the smoke pH of
- 22 various brands, including Marlboro and Kool and
- 23 Winston and Salem?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. I'd like to read that for a minute and ask you a STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- couple guestions. "Marlboro and Kool deliver about
- 2 the same amounts of total smoke nicotine as do our
- 3 comparable brands. However, Marlboro smoke is
- 4 typically at pH between 6.8 to 7.3, and Kool smoke is
- 5 typically at 6.4 to 6.6, as compared with WINSTON
- 6 smoke at ph 5.8 to 6.0 and SALEM smoke at pH 6.0 to
- 7 6.2."
- 8 Now first of all, that pH, is that the scale of
- 9 zero to seven -- or 14 that we were talking about?
- 10 A. Correct. But the changes that we talked about
- 11 yesterday, you go a small change on the pH scale, 12 it's a logarithmic scale, so a change of one unit is
- 13 a ten-fold increase. So a difference between 5.8 and
- 14 6.8 is ten times different.
- 15 Q. Okay. And when Dr. Teague here was referring to
- 16 the same amounts of total smoke nicotine and then he
- 17 differentiated the pH, what is total smoke nicotine?
- 18 A. That would be just the measure of all the
- 19 nicotine in the smoke.
- 20 Q. Is that referred to as the delivery?
- 21 A. I think so, yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Now he goes on, then, to say, "Thus,
- 23 Marlboro and Kool contain -- smokes contains more

- 'free' nicotine than our comparable brands, hence we
- 25 would be expected to show more instantaneous nicotine STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 'kick' than our brands."
- Did you see that in other documents of the
- 3 defendants?
- 4 A. Yes. Several documents.
- 5 Q. And if you could turn to page four of this, and
- 6 specifically -- see the whole page first, there you
- $7\,$ go -- and we want to go down to Roman numeral VII as
- 8 soon as we see the whole page, and this part of the
- 9 memo is entitled "RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, CURRENT AND
- 10 PLANNED," and in the very first sentence there does
- 11 Dr. Teague report that the research that's been
- 12 conducted by RJR has been collected, correlated,
- 13 interpreted and described to management, data on
- 14 smoke pH of various brands?
- 15 A. That's what it says.
- 16 Q. Can you now turn to Exhibit 14009. It is a
- 17 Lorillard document regarding smoke pH.
- 18 Is this one of the documents that you've
- 19 reviewed during the course of preparing to testify?
- 20 A. Yes, it is.
- 21 Q. And does it form part of the basis of your
- 22 opinion?
- 23 A. It does.
- 24 Q. And is it consistent with the subject matters of
- other documents that you've reviewed of the

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 defendants?
- 2 A. It -- it does.
- 3 Q. Now this document is a presentation by Dr.
- 4 Spears, the current CEO of Lorillard?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Can you go, please, to page three of this
- 7 document, and specifically the second full paragraph
- 8 where Dr. Spears is reporting on the research
- 9 activities of the document.
- MR. CIRESI: Before we do that, I'm going
- 11 to offer the document, which is Exhibit 100095.
- 12 Excuse me.
- MR. BERNICK: No objection, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Excuse me, counsel. What's the
- 15 number?
- MR. CIRESI: It's the wrong number, Your
- 17 Honor. 14009.
- THE COURT: Court will receive 14009.
- 19 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 20 Q. If you go to page three then, doctor --
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. -- and direct your attention to the second full
- 23 paragraph.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. And in that paragraph does Dr. Spears report on STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 the research activities on the physiological element
- 2 concerned with nicotine that had been undertaken by
- 3 Lorillard?
- 4 A. That's -- that's what he says, yes.
- 5 Q. And is one of those factors the pH dependence,
- 6 which you see in number two?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And with regard to that he states "fraction of
- 9 inhaled nicotine which is deposited in the
- 10 respiratory tract, paren, pH dependence, close
- 11 paren." What is he referring to there, sir?
- 12 A. Well the pH dependence would -- would determine
- 13 what fraction of the nicotine is free base nicotine.
- 14 The higher the pH, the more free base nicotine will
- 15 be present, and that has to do with the absorption of
- 16 the nicotine.
- 17 Q. Okay. And does he relate to that in subpart one
- 18 of that paragraph where he says "absorption rate into
- 19 the bloodstream, once the nicotine has deposited on
- 20 the respiratory tract?"
- 21 A. Correct. That's one of the factors as well.
- 22 Q. Can you now direct your attention to Exhibit
- 23 11903, which is in volume one.
- 24 A. Okay.
- Q. Now this is Liggett document, doctor, dated STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 12-16-1971?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. The title is "DEVELOPMENT OF A CIGARETTE WITH
- 4 INCREASED SMOKE ph?"
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And is this one of the documents that you
- 7 reviewed with respect to your testimony in this case?
- 8 A. It is.
- 9 Q. Is it representative of other documents that you
- 10 reviewed with respect to this subject matter, smoke
- 11 pH?
- 12 A. It is.
- MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we'd offer Exhibit
- 14 11903.
- MR. BERNICK: No objection, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: The court will receive 11903.
- 17 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 18 Q. We're going to first put up the title page, and
- 19 I know the Bates numbers on these were reversed, that
- 20 sometimes happens when documents are produced, so we
- 21 will go to the first page first even though it's the
- 22 second page of the document. All right?
- On that we see the title "DEVELOPMENT OF A
- 24 CIGARETTE WITH INCREASED SMOKE ph."
- 25 A. Yes.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 Q. And in the first paragraph there, "SUMMARY OF
- 2 PROGRESS," is it indicated there that Liggett was
- 3 researching this issue of smoke pH?
- 4 A. They were.

- 5 Q. Okay. And let me read that -- that portion.
- 6 "Increasing the pH of a medium in which nicotine is
- 7 delivered increases the physiological effect of the
- 8 nicotine by increasing the ratio of free base to acid
- 9 salt form, the free base form being more readily
- transported across physiological membranes." Let me
 stop right there.
- When we were talking about the lung yesterday, is that a physiological membrane?
- 14 A. It is.
- 15 $\,$ Q. "We are pursuing this project with the eventual
 - goal -- goal of lowering the total nicotine present
- 17 in smoke while increasing the physiological effect of
- 18 the nicotine which is present, so that no
- 19 physiological effect is lost on nicotine reduction."
- 20 Can you tell me what was being discussed there?
- 21 A. Well in terms of the nicotine delivery, this was
- 22 an effort to maintain or actually enhance the
- 23 delivery of free base nicotine so that it continued
- 24 to have the physiological effect, which is the effect
- 25 on the brain and the central nervous system we talked STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 about yesterday. So it's just a way of changing the
- 2 form of the nicotine, increasing the amount of free
- 3 base nicotine available to the alveolar capillary
 - membrane so that there is more available to cross
- 5 that membrane to get into the bloodstream to get to
- 6 the brain faster.
- 7 Q. Now doctor, during this period of time, 1971,
- 8 were the cigarette manufacturers reducing tar and
- 9 nicotine -- tar and nicotine in their cigarettes?
- 10 A. Well that was what was indicated earlier, and --
- 11 and that was the graph, I think, that one of the
- 12 people showed on the opening statements, that the
- 13 delivery of tar and nicotine was reduced over time.
- 14 $\,$ Q. Okay. Now then this paragraph here relates to
- when you're lowering the tar and nicotine, how you
- l6 keep the kick up so that you get the physiological
- 17 effect; is that what's being referred to?
- 18 A. That's exactly what's being described here. So
- 19 if you have more free base nicotine available, even
- 20 though there may be less delivery, more free base
- 21 nicotine gets into the system faster, gets to the
- 22 central nervous system faster, and therein lies the
- 23 addictive potential of the nicotine.
- 24 Q. And if -- if we could go, then, to the second
- 25 page of this memorandum with regard to Liggett, did STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 Liggett run into a problem with its filter regarding
 2 this issue?
- 3 A. That's correct. It had a different filtering
- 4 mechanism that apparently was not -- not what they
- 5 wanted it to be.
- 6 Q. If we could look at the -- starting on the third
- 7 line, "It was found that the additive increased
- 8 tobacco pH and unfiltered smoke pH, but that the
- 9 L&M" -- Liggett & Myers -- "filter had a buffering

- 10 effect on the smoke so that there was no observable
- 11 filtered smoke pH change." Do you see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Is that what you were just referring to?
- 14 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 15 Q. And does the memo then reflect that Liggett
- 16 tried another filter on this cigarette and found that
- 17 the cigarette with that filter then did exhibit the
- 18 expected increase in tobacco and smoke pH?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And that's at the -- I think it's the
- 21 third-to-the-last line which reads as follows --
- They had put an empty-cavity Lark filter on the
- 23 cigarette; is that right?
- 24 A. Right.
- Q. And then it reads, "These cigarettes exhibited STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 the expected increase in tobacco and smoke pH." Is
- 2 that correct?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. And from your review of the documents, did you
- 5 find that filters could have an effect based on what
- the defendants' research regarding the smoke, tar or
- 7 nicotine that's delivered?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Can you direct your attention now, doctor, to
- 10 Exhibit 12223, which is in the same volume of
- 11 exhibits.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. And this is a B.A.T product development review,
- 14 the date of it is June 1988, the title is "The
- 15 Significance of pH in Tobacco and Tobacco Smoke, " the
- 16 author is Mr. D. E. Creighton, C-r-e-i-g-h-t-o-n, a
- 17 research scientist, and it's issued by Dr. T. Hirji,
- 18 H-i-r-j-i, who was project manager for marketing at
- one time, and also in R&D for B.A.T. Company Ltd.
 Have you reviewed this document before, sir?
- 21 A. I have.
- 22 Q. And is this document one of the documents that
- 23 forms the basis of your opinion?
- 24 A. It does.
- 25 Q. And is it consistent with other documents that STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 you saw of the defendants with respect to this pH 2 issue?
- 3 A. It -- it does.
- 4 MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 5 Exhibit 12223.
- 6 MR. BERNICK: No objection, Your Honor.
- 7 I'd like to note for the record, this is a BATCo 8 document.
- 9 THE COURT: The court will receive 12223.
- 10 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 11 Q. Can we go to the first page. It says "Product
- 12 Development Review," and down in the lower left-hand
- 13 corner, if we could get that up a little, bring that
- in, there is a research and development stamp and

then a logo of B.A.T, B-A-T, and it says "This review 16 must not be copied or shown to unauthorized persons." 17 If we go on to the next page, this is the title 18 page. If we could move up just a little bit. There we go. Little bit more so we can see the upper 19 20 right-hand. 21 Up there in the upper right-hand corner is the 22 date of June of 1988, and the title is "The 23 Significance of pH in Tobacco and Tobacco Smoke, " and 24 we see the author and who it was issued by, and if we could go down to the bottom -- counsel I believe just 25 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT 1383 1 referenced the fact this was a B.A.T. Company Ltd. document. Right above that does it say it's also 2. what's been referred to as BAT (U.K. and Export), 3 Ltd.? I believe counsel always went "BATUKE," put 5 the quotation marks up there. Does it also indicate 6 that? 7 MR. BERNICK: Sure does. I think it might be -- with Your Honor's 8 9 indulgence to explain to the jury, that the logo that appears on the bottom is the logo that was placed on 10 11 the document in connection with its production in this case; that is, it was produced by BATCo, and 12 that's why it appears there. It's kind of like the 13 Bates stamp, it's a way of indicating the source of 14 15 the document. 16 MR. CIRESI: So that, Your Honor, it was 17 produced by BATCo in this litigation from their 18 files, but it also is a BATUKE document. MR. BERNICK: It was generated by BATUKE, 19 20 yes. 21 THE COURT: Let's move on, counsel. 22 BY MR. CIRESI: 23 Q. Now can we go to the first page, which is 24 entitled "The Significance of pH in Tobacco and 25 Tobacco Smoke." First of all, in the first STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT 1384 1 paragraph, is there a definition of pH? A. Yes, there is. It's basically the one we talked 2. about yesterday. 3 Q. Okay. And in here it's talking about the 5 logarithmic nature of the pH scale. A. That's correct. 6 And it's showing that that means that for one pH 7 Q. 8 there's a ten-fold change in acidity or alkalinity; 9 is that correct? 10 A. Right, that's correct. 11 Q. And that's the issue you were talking about yesterday? 12 A. Yes. Small changes in pH would make big changes 13 14 as far as the hydrogen ion concentration. Q. If you could go to page two, is there reported 15 16 there the pH of tobacco for various different types 17 of tobacco and showing typical values of pH? A. That's correct. 19 Q. We have flue-cured at 5.45, air cured or burley,

- 20 5.8, oriental at 4.9 and Maryland at 6.60; is that
- 21 right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And if you could direct your attention, then, to
- 24 page three, is there reported at that page by Mr.
- 25 Creighton the effects of pH on smoke?

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1385

- 1 A. Yes. It's in the middle of the page.
- Q. Okay. And what is reported there in this
- 3 document?
- 4 A. Well it just shows the -- the range of pH as
- 5 it -- as it relates to free base nicotine, is -- is
- 6 basically what it shows.
- 7 Q. And is there then a definition of free base
- 8 nicotine as extractable or unbound nicotine?
- 9 A. It does. But the first sentence I guess is
- 10 probably the most important, "Free base nicotine is
- 11 the most chemically and physiologically active form
- 12 because it is most rapidly absorbed. It's more
- 13 rapidly absorbed than nicotine, it says, because it
- 14 is a smaller molecule, as we talked about yesterday.
- 15 Q. Can you go over, then, to page four of this
- 16 document, doctor.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. Before you do that, I'd like to direct your
- 19 attention back just to the bottom of that page. Mr.
- 20 Creighton reports here "The important feature of
- 21 Figure 2," which is one of the figures in this
- 22 document, "is that relatively small changes in pH
- 23 between 6 and 8 have a very large effect on the
- 24 proportion of free base nicotine in the smoke." Is
- 25 that what you were referring to yesterday?

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 A. That's correct. And I think I was on the wrong
- 2 page when I said what I just a minute ago. The free
- 3 base nicotine and non-extractable nicotine that's on
- 4 this page explain the terms. Free base nicotine and
- 5 extractable nicotine or unbound nicotine they say
- 6 here are equivalent, so you'll see them used
- 7 interchangeably. And non-extractable nicotine is the
- 8 salt form or the bound form of nicotine, and so
- 9 that's the one that's less available as far as
- 10 transfer across the physiological membrane.
- 11 Q. And if we then go on to the next page, that's
- 12 the reference that --
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And I apologize --
- 15 A. I'm sorry.
- 16 Q. -- for not getting you the right page.
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. The next page is the "Effects of Free Base and
- 19 Bound Nicotine on the Sensory Properties of Smoke,"
- 20 and there it's reported that free base nicotine is
- 21 the most chemically and physically -- physiologically
- 22 active form because it is most readily absorbed; is
- 23 that correct?
- 24 A. That's correct. Most rapidly absorbed.

- 25 Q. And if you could direct your attention down to STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- the pH range of the general approximation that's in
- this document of U.S. blended cigarette smoke, is it
- 3 depicted there the range that you get by small
- 4 changes in pH? If we could just use the U.S. blended
- 5 cigarette smoke.
- 6 A. Yes, the one in the middle, and it shows a pH
- 7 range of 5.2 to 6.2, and then it shows you out to the
- 8 right of that percentage that you might expect in a
- 9 solution like that to be free base nicotine. And
- 10 this really shows the logarithmic effect of pH. So
- 11 with a change of one unit, from 5.2 to 6.2, there's a
- 12 ten-fold increase in the amount of free base nicotine
- or the percentage of free base nicotine going from
- 14 .22 to 2.20.
- 15 Q. Doctor, could you turn, then, to the chart of
- 16 the chemical structure of nicotine, which is at Bates
- 17 number, last three pages, 420.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. And we have three different chemical equations
- 20 there, one is the diprotonated, monoprotonated, and
- 21 then unprotonated. Can you describe what is being
- 22 depicted here?
- 23 A. Well when you talk about protons, you're talking
- 24 about hydrogen ions, so in the top drawing at the
- 25 bottom of the first N, which is a nitrogen, is an H ${\tt STIREWALT}$ & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

1388

- 1 with a little plus beside it, so that represents a
- proton. And there's also next to the -- the
- 3 H -- CH3, there's another H there. So there's two
- 4 hydrogen ions, and that's what it means,
- 5 diprotonated. Two is di, protonated means hydrogen
- 6 ions. And so this is the bound type of nicotine or
- 7 the non-extractable type of nicotine.
- 8 If you lose one of those hydrogen ions, then it
- 9 becomes monoprotonated, one proton, and that's kind
- 10 of an intermediate form. And then the one at the
- 11 bottom is the one where there's no hydrogen ions
- 12 present, and that is the unprotonated or the free
- 13 base form of nicotine, and they also term it
- 14 extractable form. There's an extraction process at
- 15 one point that they use where they would extract
- 16 nicotine with various solvents, and that's -- that's
- how they did the procedure. But basically, the salt form or the bound form and the free base form are the
- 19 two that are the -- kind of each ends of the
- 20 spectrum.
- 21 Q. And could you turn back one page, then, to 419,
- 22 which is entitled Figure 2, "DISTRIBUTION OF BOUND
- 23 AND FREE BASE NICOTINE FORMS AT VARIOUS pH LEVELS."
- 24 And what's being depicted there, sir?
- 25 A. This is --

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

```
This is a busy graph.
 1
    Q.
    A.
         Yeah.
 2.
   Q. But could you describe it.
 3
         I don't have my pointer with me. The -- the --
    Α.
    graph shows the -- the three different forms of
 5
    nicotine, it shows the diprotonated, the
 6
    monoprotonated and the unprotonated forms, and the
 7
    they're basically in equilibrium, depending upon what
9
    the pH is. So if you move the pH one direction, then
10
    there will be more of one form than the other. So at
    a pH of eight, which is the dissociation constant for
11
    nicotine, it's called the PKA, at a pH of eight, half
12
    of it will be in the bound form and half of it will
13
14
    be in the free form. And if the pH is less than
     that, again with the logarithmic curve, it will be
15
16
    lots less depending upon what the pH is.
17
         So if you look at the bottom of this, if you
18
    could make that a little bit -- come in closer and
19
    just go to the bottom where it shows the pH of
20
    solution? Yeah. That's -- that's better. So the pH
21
    of solution at the bottom where you see the number
22
    six, just to the left of that is where the
    diprotonated curve kind of ends, but then as you get
23
24
    on to the next part of the curve, then the solid line
25
    begins to go up very dramatically, depending upon
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    what the pH is. And that's why I'm talking -- when
    you talk about the curve being exponential, it kind
 2.
    of just almost goes straight up as the pH goes
 3
    higher. So that represents the free base nicotine.
    Q. Now cigar smoke is at about eight pH we saw from
 5
 6
    the documents?
7
         That's the rough -- the rough estimate. It
    probably can vary. But eight is what they use in the
9
    documents that we've seen.
    Q. Okay. Now at a pH of eight, is nicotine
10
11
    absorbed in the mouth --
    A. Oh, free base nicotine --
12
         -- with cigars?
13
         -- will go across any biological membrane,
14
15
    that's -- that's how it gets into the system. And
16
    that's the --
17
         Cigar smoke doesn't have to be inhaled in order
18
    to get a lot of nicotine into the system. If you
19
    puff on a cigar, there is a very large amount of free
    base nicotine, as indicated here, so if you puff it
20
21
    in your mouth, hold it in your mouth, you can get
22
    very large quantities of nicotine, just the same --
23
          Same thing is true with smokeless tobacco. The
24
    higher the pH of smokeless tobacco, the more free
25
    base nicotine is contained in the -- the smokeless
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    tobacco, and therefore the more absorption that
 1
    occurs across that biological membrane, which happens
 2
 3
    to be in the mouth.
```

The other would be pipe smoke. Pipe smoke also

has a higher pH. And some of the highest cotinine

- 6 levels that we see sometimes are in people that use
- 7 those other products. So there are other ways of
- 8 getting nicotine into the system, but cigarettes just
- 9 happen to be the most efficient delivery system to
- 10 get that very high spike that we talked about
- 11 yesterday.

- 12 Q. Now the cigar smokes have the higher pH; is that
- 13 what you're talking about?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. And you said the cotinine level. What
- 16 was that again?
- 17 A. Well cotinine is the metabolic product of
- 18 nicotine. When nicotine is ingested in any form, it
- 19 goes into the body system and it goes around,
- 20 eventually gets metabolized by the liver. And
- 21 cotinine is one of the metabolic products of nicotine
- 22 that we can measure in the bloodstream. And it has a
- 23 longer half-life than nicotine. Nicotine has a very
- 24 short half-life, around 120 minutes.
- 25 And what "half-life" means is that if you had a STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

1392

- 1 solution -- a chemical in your bloodstream like
 - nicotine, and let's just give it a number, say the
- 3 number was 20, 20 nanograms per ml, if it has a
- 4 half-life of 120 minutes, then in 120 minutes the
- 5 amount left in your body would be half of that, it
- 6 would be ten; and then another 120 minutes later, it
 - would be five; and 120 minutes later, it would be two
- 8 and a half. And so that's what a half-life is.
- 9 Cotinine has a longer half-life, it has a
- 10 half-life of 18 to 20 hours, so it's much easier to
- 11 measure. And it gives us an idea of what the total
- 12 exposure of a person has been to nicotine over a
- 13 longer period of time. It's just a measure we use.
- 14 Like we want to use it to measure how much nicotine
- 15 patch therapy to give a patient, we would measure
- 16 their cotinine level, which would give us an
- 17 indication of how much nicotine they've been taking
- 18 in. And we might use two patches instead of one with
- 19 people with very high cotinine levels.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now doctor, based on your review of the
- 21 defendants' documents, do you have a opinion to a
- 22 reasonable degree of scientific certainty whether the
- 23 defendants were aware that free base nicotine is the
- 24 most chemically and physiologically active form of
- 25 nicotine because it is most rapidly absorbed into the STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 blood system?
- A. They absolutely were.
- 3 Q. And doctor, based on your training and expertise
- 4 and experience, do you have a opinion to a reasonable
- 5 degree of scientific certainty whether nicotine is
- 6 addictive?
- 7 A. Absolutely it is.
- 8 Q. And when we speak about addictive, is it
- 9 addictive to all people?
- 10 A. Oh gosh, no, no. There is a range of -- of this

- 11 as far as people who can use and not become
- 12 dependent, just like there is a range of people who
- 13 can use heroin and not become dependent. There is
- 14 lot of reports in -- in the literature about that
- 15 type of exposure. Even from Viet Nam, people who may
- 16 have had used heroin very regularly, but then when
- 17 they got back to the United they weren't dependent
- 18 upon it. So even with a drug hike heroin, not
- 19 everyone becomes dependent upon it. And the same
- 20 thing is true of nicotine.
- 21 Q. And did the defendants' documents indicate they
- 22 were aware of that?
- 23 A. Aware of its addictive potential?
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. Yes, they were.

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1394

- 1 Q. Were they aware that there was a range of
- 2 tolerance with respect to nicotine?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Prior to the filing of this lawsuit in August of
- 5 1994, did any of the defendants ever publicly admit
- 6 that nicotine was addictive?
- 7 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 8 MR. BERNICK: Objection, Your Honor, lack
- 9 of foundation.
- 10 THE COURT: You may answer that.
- 11 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now you used the term "addiction" and
- "dependence." Is there a difference?
- 14 $\,$ A. No. We use those terms interchangeably in the
- 15 field. They are basically synonymous. We've got a
- 16 lot of discussion over the years of what -- which one
- 17 to call this. Even when we named our Nicotine
- 18 Dependence Center we had a lot of discussion within
- 19 our staff whether or not to call it the Nicotine
- 20 Addiction Center or the Nicotine Dependence Center,
- 21 and we discussed it and decided to call it the
- 22 Nicotine Dependence Center for a lot of different
- 23 reasons. The Surgeon General's report of 1988 is
- 24 called -- or is named "The Surgeon General's Report
- 25 on Nicotine Addiction," and they had the same public STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

1395

- discussions there. And others have had those kind of discussions as well.
- But basically "dependence" and "addiction" are synonymous terms, and we use them in that way.
 - Q. Okay.

- 6 A. They're interchangeable.
- 7 MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, at this time I'd
- 8 like to play a proceedings $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ an excerpt from the
- 9 proceedings before the House Subcommittee on Health
- 10 and Environment of the House Committee on Energy and
- 11 Commerce, Henry A. Waxman, Chairman, dated April
- 12 14th, 1994, which is the testimony of the CEOs of the defendants on the issue of nicotine before Congress.
- defendants on the issue of nicotine before Congress.

 MR. BERNICK: Object, Your Honor. I'm
- 15 going to object. I believe that that congressional

```
17
    certainly not excerpts from it. And I don't believe
18
    that that was disclosed as part of the expert
19
    materials that this witness relied upon in connection
    with the expert discovery in this case.
20
21
              MR. CIRESI: It was so disclosed. It's on
22
     the exhibit list.
              THE COURT: Excuse me, counsel. Was it
23
24
    disclosed or was it not?
25
              MR. BERNICK: In the materials that were
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    listed for his testimony it was disclosed, but in
    connection with the expert process; that is, the
 2
    identification of those materials upon which he would
 3
    rely as an expert, it was not in fact disclosed. And
    that is the basis for our objection.
              MR. CIRESI: Could I address that now?
 6
7
              THE COURT: Please.
              MR. CIRESI: Okay. As the defendants have
8
    stated with regard to their experts, they said these
9
10
    are illustrative. Neither side was required in the
    expert reports to list every single document that the
11
12
    experts would be relying on to form the basis of
    their opinions. What they were required to do was to
13
    set forth the opinions that they would be testifying
14
15
    to. That's the notice that the parties needed to
16
    have and were given.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Proceed, counsel.
              MR. BERNICK: Your Honor --
18
19
              THE COURT: Proceed, counsel.
              MR. BERNICK: All right.
20
              (Video excerpt played.)
2.1
22
    BY MR. CIRESI:
23
    Q. Doctor, is there a standard used in the medical
24
    community for defining substance dependence or
25
    addiction?
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1397
 1
         There is.
 2
    Q.
         And what is that, sir?
 3
         What's called the DSM IV criteria, which is the
    Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, the fourth one,
 4
 5
    from the American Psychiatric Association.
              MR. CIRESI: And Your Honor, we would offer
 6
7
    Exhibit GK000298, which is the American Psychiatric
 8
    Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual No.
9
    IV, and we'd offer it pursuant to 803(18), a learned
10
    medical treatise.
11
              MR. BERNICK: I have no objection to that.
12
              THE COURT: It will be received into
13
    evidence.
14
    BY MR. CIRESI:
    Q. And doctor, can you turn in the second volume of
15
    your book to Exhibit 30219 --
16
17
              MR. CIRESI: Which, Your Honor, we're going
18 to offer for illustrative purposes. It is part of --
19 it is the criteria for dependence or addiction from
20
    Exhibit GK000298. We're offering it for illustrative
```

proceeding is not properly admissible into evidence,

```
21
    purposes.
22
             MR. BERNICK: I'm sorry, the number again
23
    was that?
24
              MR. CIRESI: 30219.
              MR. BERNICK: No objection, Your Honor.
25
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1398
               THE COURT: Court will receive 30219.
 1
 2
    BY MR. CIRESI:
    Q. Doctor, can you first of all explain the title,
 3
    it says "Criteria for Substance Dependence." Does
 4
    that also include addiction in that term?
 5
 6
         Correct. And again we use the term "dependence"
 7
    and -- and "addiction" synonymously. And this is the
    criteria for all substance dependence, "substance"
 8
    meaning a drug and "dependence" meaning the addictive
9
    or the dependence process. So in order to be
1.0
11
    classified as a drug of dependence, it would have to
    have, as it says in the beginning of this, a pattern
12
13
    of substance abuse manifested by three or more of the
    following occurring at any time in the same 12-month
14
15
    period. So this is a -- a way of defining for us and
16
    for our -- our profession how to judge when a person
17
    would be classified as being dependent on a
18
    substance.
    Q. Now you say it has to have a substance. What's
19
    the significance of that?
2.0
    A. Well, a substance is like a drug -- or is a drug
2.1
22
    as opposed to other compulsive behaviors. There are
    a lot of compulsive behaviors, and smoking is a
2.3
24
     compulsive behavior too, but it has also a substance
    or drug present as well. There are compulsive
25
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1399
    behaviors, like compulsive gambling and other types
 1
 2.
    of compulsive behaviors. The thing that sets this
    aside is the drug is central to the issue or the
    substance is central to the issue, and that's what we
 4
    talk about when we talk about substance dependence.
 5
 6
    Q. One of the defense counsel mentioned in their
 7
    opening statement, I think, something to the effect
 8
    that water or TV could be addictive. Is that
    possible?
9
10
              MR. BERNICK: Mischaracterization of what
11
    was said. I object, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. The jury will recall
12
13
    what the opening statement said.
14
    Q. Is water addictive?
         No.
15
    Α.
16
    Q. Is TV addictive?
17
    A. No.
18
    Q.
        Does that make any sense to you as a medical
19
    doctor?
20
    A. No.
         Can we then direct our attention to tolerance.
21
    Ο.
22
    Can you describe that criteria.
23
    A. Tolerance is the phenomenon that we see with --
    with drugs of dependence where, when you are exposed
    to it initially, then you may have an effect, that if
```

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1400

you're exposed to it more and more and more, that effect goes away.

You recall the first experience a person may 3 4 have had with smoking a cigarette, like myself, the first cigarette I ever had, I felt like I was turning 5 6 green, I got nauseated, I got lightheaded and I didn't feel very well. And in fact somebody will get 7 sick to their stomach if they take -- if they smoke 8 too much at their first experience. And the way that 9 a person overcomes that or gets accustomed to that is 10 11 to smoke more. And the more you smoke, the more 12 tolerance there is to those adverse effects like nausea or lightheadedness. 13

So the phenomenon begins to build up in the central nervous system, that's where it's mediated, so that a person can smoke large numbers of cigarettes over a period of time and not have those -- those adverse effects.

14

16

17

18

15

The tolerance phenomenon in this one, in 19 20 nicotine dependence, is a little different than some 21 of the tolerance phenomenon to other drugs. Some of 22 the other drugs take a lot longer to develop a 23 tolerance, and therefore when they stop using the substance, like alcohol or opiates, it takes a long 24 25 time for that tolerance to go away.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

With nicotine, the tolerance can develop fairly rapidly, and then when a person stops smoking, the 2. tolerance can go away. We watch the patients who 3 will experience that. For example, they may stop 5 smoking for a month or six weeks and then start again, and they'll experience the same thing all over 6 7 again; they'll get nauseated, lightheaded with their first cigarette again. So it's a phenomenon we 9 observe. And tolerance is a biochemically mediated experience within the central nervous system. 10 11 Q. The next criteria is withdrawal. Can you

12 describe that? 13 A. Withdrawal symptoms are what happens when a

14 person has a blood level of the substance to go down 15 or to go away completely, and it can happen either 16 way. We often have patients who will awaken in the 17 middle of the night to smoke a cigarette because they 18 had withdrawal symptoms during the night that would 19 awaken them because their nicotine levels have gone 20 down. So it doesn't have to be totally abstinence

21 from the substance in order to have withdrawal

symptoms. Withdrawal symptoms can occur just with a 23 decrease in the nicotine levels in the bloodstream.

24 And remember that the nicotine half-life is very 25 short, and that's one reason that the dosing of STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

nicotine in cigarettes has to be so frequent is

because the half-life is only 120 minutes. So when you smoke a cigarette, then 120 minutes later the 3 amount of nicotine that was in your bloodstream has been reduced at least by half, if not more, and so that's why repeated smoking throughout the day is 6 7 required in order to keep the nicotine levels up. So withdrawal symptoms can occur either with a 8 9 decrease in the nicotine level or it can occur when the nicotine level goes down completely. Withdrawal 10 11 symptoms are very well described as being anxiety, irritability, frustration. I think I described my 12 13 own experience when I stopped smoking. My co-workers wanted me to start smoking again because I was so 14 15 irritable and so crabby and I wasn't able to 16 concentrate on what I was doing. Inability to 17 concentrate is one of the other withdrawal symptoms 18 that occurs. 19 People can become depressed. Depression is one 20 of the symptoms that we know occurs in people who stop smoking. I think I described yesterday people 21 22 who have had to be admitted to the hospital because of severe depression. Probably the -- the most 23 profound evidence of withdrawal I've ever seen is a woman that we had in our inpatient treatment program. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT And we were making rounds on the second day after she 1 had been admitted, and at the time she was admitted her cotinine level was in around the 1100 range or 3 so. She still kind of holds the indoor record. And 4 the cotinine level of 1100 would be the equivalent of 5 smoking four to five packs of cigarettes in a day, 6 7 and that's what she had been smoking. And her -- her ability to concentrate and to really be in touch with 8 9 all of us was so impaired that when I walked in the room she was looking at her watch and poking on it, 10 11 and she said that -- that this is really hard to dial 12 the telephone. And -- and she really was very 13 confused and in the midst of the most severe 14 withdrawal I've ever seen. So withdrawal symptoms can be mild, they can be 15 not -- they can be absent, some people do not have 16 17 severe withdrawal symptoms at all, they can be 18 moderate or they can be severe, depending upon how 19 much exposure the person has had over what period of 20 time. Q. Now the third criteria for addiction or 21 22 dependence, "Substance taken in larger amounts or longer than intended." Can you describe what that 23 24 entails? A. Well the "than intended" is kind of the key part 25 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT to this, because it really has to do with control. Patients very often -- my --2

My own example, I would get up in the morning, a

lot of the mornings, and I'd say well I'm not going to smoke as much today as I did yesterday. I smoked two to three packs a day when I smoked, and so you

```
had to work really hard to get that many cigarettes
    in. And there were many days that I would say well
 8
    I'm not going to do that all over again, but then
9
10
    about the second hour of the day, the control
    mechanism, which had been lost a long time ago for
11
12
    me, would have -- would take over and the drug itself
    would take over and I would smoke the same number of
13
14
    cigarettes all over that day, even though I had
    consciously decided not to do that. I just didn't
15
    have the control over the substance.
16
         And that's -- that is the -- one of the central
17
18
    issues here, is loss of control. I had lost my
19
    choice. I didn't have a choice any more in this
20
    matter because the drug was interfering with my
21
    choice.
22
    Q. The next criteria is "Persistent desire or
23
    unsuccessful efforts to cut down or control substance
24
    use."
25
    A. It's kind of similar to the -- to the third
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    criteria, and that is the desire to control the use.
 1
    And -- and when you have -- when you're dealing with
 2
    a substance of dependence in a person who is becoming
    or has become dependent, the control is -- is no
    longer there because the drug is exercising control.
 5
         Now that doesn't mean that somebody can't cut
 6
 7
    down or -- or -- or reduce their intake for
    periods of time. The patients we see have a lot of
8
    difficulty with this because they can reach down to a
9
    certain level of smoking, around ten cigarettes a
10
    day, and when they try to go below that they have a
11
    lot of difficulty as far as withdrawal symptoms are
12
13
    concerned. They can smoke hard enough on ten
14
    cigarettes a day to usually get enough nicotine into
    their system that they can get through the day
15
    without too severe of withdrawal symptoms. And below
16
17
    that kind of threshold for those individuals, they
    will have withdrawal symptoms.
19
         So when -- when we help people to stop smoking,
20
    there has been a lot of theory in the past, maybe --
    maybe people can just cut down and fade down in their
21
    use and cut down one or two more a day until they get
23
    down to zero. Well they hit that barrier around ten
24
    or so cigarettes a day and they can't go below that,
25
    and usually will then relapse to smoking at higher
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1406
    levels. It's one of those things that really has to
 1
    do with control. And people will tell you that they
    have tried many, many times to stop smoking. I
    stopped smoking dozens of times, sometimes for as
    long as only 30 minutes, because then the desire to
 5
     smoke would come back and it would be overpowering.
    Q. Can we move then to the next criteria, doctor,
 7
 8
    which is "Great deal of time spent in activities
    necessary to obtain or use the substance, or recover
9
10
    from its effects."
11
    A. Well this isn't quite a big a deal as it used to
```

```
be as far as cigarettes are concerned. When I was
12
13
    growing up and when I was in college -- I didn't
14
    start smoking until I was in college. I played
15
    basketball and -- and didn't start -- start smoking
    until I was in college after I'd stopped playing
16
17
    basketball. But at that time in -- in our history,
    if you will, there weren't convenience stores so you
18
19
     could go at any hour of the day or night to go buy
20
    cigarettes or anything else. So after I had been
    smoking for about a year, I was a heavy smoker not at
21
    the very beginning, but within six months I was a
22
23
    very heavy smoker, and within a couple of years I was
24
    an extremely heavy smoker, two to three packs a day,
25
    and I spent an enormous amount of time making sure
                  STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1407
    that I never ran out of anything.
 2.
         I would start the day with two packs of
    cigarettes, two fresh packs, unopened packs. I had a
 3
    Zippo lighter with me at all times, I had a Ronson
    lighter fluid in the glove compartment, Ronson
 5
 6
    lighter fluid in the medicine cabinet, and though
 7
    it's not as much a big deal today as far as spending
 8
    time making sure the supply is there, most people who
    are dependent will make sure that they don't run out,
9
    because when you run out, then the symptoms can be
10
11
    very severe.
12
    Q. The next criteria is "Important activities given
13
    up or reduced because of substance abuse." Can you
14
    describe that?
    A. Well it is a very important part of what I do
15
    from a medical standpoint in the patients that I see
16
17
    because they often will give up things and not do
18
     things because of their smoking.
19
         As time has gone into the 1980s and 1990s, as
    smoking policies have become more restrictive, we've
20
    had people who retire from jobs because of smoke
21
22
    restrictions at work. I've not known of anyone to be
23
    fired from our place, but there have been people who
24
    have retired that are giving up important things
    because the substance is more important than that.
25
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
          I'll never forget a woman that I had that became
    very depressed, and I inquired of her why are you
 2
    depressed? And as we went through the
 3
    history-gathering process, it became apparent that
 4
    she'd become depressed because of her
 5
    daughter-in-law. And her daughter-in-law had made a
 6
 7
    little rule in her house that there was no more
 8
    smoking, and the daughter-in-law and son -- and son
9
    had a baby, and this woman became depressed because
    she couldn't any longer go see the grandchild. And
10
11
     the reason she couldn't go see the grandchild was
    because the daughter-in-law had put a rule in the
12
13
    house that she couldn't smoke in the house. And she
14
    became depressed and we had to deal with that, and it
15
    was not because of anything except her dependence on
```

nicotine.

```
17
         So people very frequently will give up important
18
    things to them, even to the point of giving up
19
    relationships, because of their dependence on this
20
    substance.
    Q. And the last criteria then, doctor, is
21
2.2
    "Continued substance use despite knowledge of a
    physical or psycological problem likely caused or
23
24
    exacerbated by the substance. Can you describe that?
    A. Well as -- as an internist, I probably see this
25
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1409
    one most often. Excuse me. I take care of parents
 1
    and I've taken care of patients all of -- all of my
 2
 3
    career, and this is probably the one that is the most
    difficult to deal with because these patients that we
 4
    see have serious medical problems but continue to use
 5
    this substance, nicotine delivered via cigarettes.
 6
7
    And as I said earlier, the cigarette is the most
    efficient delivery device of nicotine that exists; it
8
    is the best way to get the nicotine to the central
9
    nervous system. Unfortunately, it carries along with
10
11
    it four thousand other compounds and chemicals as
    were described earlier of which 43 are known
12
13
    carcinogens, and so the effect that that has on the
14
    human body is devastating.
         So this one is the one that -- that physicians
15
    see the most often. We see people with emphysema, we
16
17
    see people with peripheral vascular disease,
18
    hardening of the arteries leading to their legs, we
19
    see people with coronary artery disease, we see
20
    people dying of coronary artery disease.
         They just reported in the news like -- like even
21
    today, Dave Moore died of coronary artery disease,
22
23
    but he really died of nicotine dependence because he
    was a smoker. So we see this all the time, and it's
24
    the highest level criteria that we use for the
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    measurement of a dependence-producing product.
 1
         The best example I think I can give you of this,
 2
 3
    and he -- and he really gives examples of all of
 4
    this, was a man that I took care of until he died
    last year, and he was a recovering alcoholic. In
 5
    fact when I first saw him as a fellow, when I was in
 7
    training in 1975, I got him into a treatment for his
 8
    alcoholsm. He continued to smoke during the all the
    time from 1975 through the summer of 1997, and he had
9
    every complication that you can imagine; he had
10
     emphysema, he had coronary artery disease, had bypass
11
12
    procedure, he had peripheral vascular disease, had to
13
    have two procedures on his legs in order to get
14
    circulation working again into his legs, he had a
    perforated peptic ulcer, and -- and he still did not
15
16
    get the relationship between his smoking and his
    diseases. And even though I confronted him with that
17
18
    and said, "Frank, you know, what do you think about
19
    all these diseases that you've had that are related
20
    to your smoking?" And his response was, "You know,
21
    doctor, I just don't see how my cigarettes have
```

```
caused all of this."
23
    So denial and rationalization feed into this
24
    one, which is the last one, and we see it every day
25
    in our medical practice.
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
              THE COURT: Counsel, I think we'll recess
1
     for lunch at this time and reconvene at 1:40.
 2
              THE CLERK: Court stands in recess.
 3
              (Recess taken.)
 4
5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1412
                        AFTERNOON SESSION.
1
 2
              THE CLERK: All rise. Court is again in
 3
    session.
              (Jury enters the courtroom.)
 4
 5
              THE CLERK: Please be seated.
              MR. CIRESI: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
7
    BY MR. CIRESI:
8
    Q. Good afternoon, doctor.
9
   A. Good afternoon.
10 Q. In 1988, did the Surgeon General of the United
    States issue a report on "The Health Consequences Of
    Smoking, NICOTINE ADDICTION?"
12
13
    Α.
        That's correct.
14
   Q. Could you turn, doctor, please, to Exhibit 3820.
15
    Again in volume one.
16
              MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
17 Exhibit 3820, report of the Surgeon General, 1988,
18
    under 8038), public records and reports.
19
              MR. BERNICK: No objection.
              THE COURT: The court will receive 3820.
20
21
    BY MR. CIRESI:
    Q. Doctor, can you direct your attention, please,
22
23
    to 3820. First of all, we have the title page on the
24
    overhead, and specifically to page nine, which has
    the "Major Conclusions," and please tell the ladies
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
```

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1413

- and gentlemen of the jury the major conclusions of the Surgeon General's report of 1988 were.
- 3 A. That "Cigarettes and other forms of tobacco are 4 addicting.
- 5 "Nicotine is the drug in tobacco that causes 6 addiction.
- 7 "The pharmacologic and behavioral process -8 processes that determine tobacco addiction are
 9 similar to those that determine addiction to drugs
 10 such as heroin and cocaine."
- Those were the major conclusions from the '88 Surgeon General's report, which, by the way, is about that thick, six hundred or so pages.
- 14 Q. Now doctor, when -- based on your experience,
- 15 when people start smoking, are they aware of the
- 16 addictive nature of nicotine in the cigarettes?
- 17 A. No. Most people who start smoking start smoking
- 18 before the age of 18. In fact more -- most people
- 19 start smoking before the age of 16. I was an unusual
- 20 person in that respect because I didn't start until
- 21 later, but I still started smoking at age 18 or 19.
- 22 So most people start smoking when they're
- 23 teen-agers, and teen-agers are children, do not
- 24 really recognize or even think about nicotine as
- 25 being an addicting substance.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1414

- 1 Q. And from your review of the defendants'
- 2 documents, were they aware, based on your -- on this
- 3 review, that most people who started smoking were not
- aware of the addictive nature of nicotine?
- 5 A. That's correct, they were.
- 6 Q. Can you direct your attention, first, to Exhibit 7 12408.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. And this is a document that's already in
- 10 evidence. Please put the title page up on the --
- 11 It's Mr. Teague's April 14th, 1972 research
- 12 planning memorandum on the nature of the tobacco
- 13 business on the crucial role of nicotine therein.
- 14 Would you please direct your attention to pagel
- 15 four --
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. -- of that report, that confidential report.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. And I'd like to specifically direct your
- 20 attention to what is the first full paragraph
- 21 starting with the word "Before proceeding...," and
- 22 I'd like to -- to read that and then ask you some
- 23 questions about it.
- "Before proceeding too far in the direction of
- 25 design of dosage forms of nicotine, it may well be --STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT
 - it may be well to consider another aspect of our
- 2 business; that is, the factors which induce a

- pre-smoker or non-smoker to become a habituated
- smoker. Paradoxically, the things which keep a 4
- confirmed smoker habituated and 'satisfied', i.e., 5
- nicotine and secondary physical and manipulative
- gratifictions, are unknown and/or largely unexplained 7
- to the non-smoker. He does not start smoking to 8
- obtain undefined physiological gratifictions or 9
- 10 reliefs, and certainly he does not start to smoke to
- 11 satisfy a non-existent craving for nicotine. Rather,
- 12 he appears to smoke for purely psycological
- reasons -- to emulate a valued image, to conform, to 13
- experiment, to defy, to be daring, to have something
- to do with his hands, and the like. Only after 15
- 16 experiencing smoking for some period of time do the
- physiological 'satisfactions' and habituation become apparent and needed. Indeed, the first smoking 17
- 18
- 19 experiences are often unpleasant until a tolerance
- 20 for nicotine has been developed."
- 21 Now first of all, the tolerance that's mentioned
- 22 there, is that one of the criteria of addiction or
- 23 dependence?
- A. That's correct. We went over that before the 24
- lunch hour. In this situation it's tolerance to STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- those adverse side effects of nausea, 1
- light-headedness and so on, and the tolerance
- develops fairly quickly, and goes away fairly quickly
- if a person stops smoking. 4
- Q. In 1972, what Mr. Teague was defining with 5
- regard to pre-smokers or non-smokers, is that
- consistent with what you've learned in your clinical 7
- 8 experience?
- A. That's correct, that they really may start 9
- 10 smoking for all of these reasons, the image,
- non-conformity, defying, and all of those things 11
- listed here, but once hooked, then the nicotine is 12
- 13 the driving force.
- 14 And it's interesting that up above, when he
- 15 talks about that a person doesn't start smoking to
- satisfy a non-existent craving for nicotine, 16
- 17 "craving" is a word that we use when we talk about
- 18 cocaine. Cocaine craving, nicotine craving are
- 19 synonymous in many respects because the craving can
- 20 be very powerful.
- 21 So people don't start smoking to avoid
- 22 withdrawal symptoms because they haven't experienced
- 23 them yet.
- 24 Q. Is it inconsistent to say that nicotine is
- addictive and at the same time to say that people can STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- stop smoking? 1
- A. Oh. Oh, gosh, no. People who are dependent on
- drugs, alcohol, other drugs, can stop -- stop using 3
- 4 those drugs. That's not inconsistent at all. Just
- 5 because a person can stop using a substance, and stop
- smoking, does not reclassify it into a non-addicting
- substance, no.

```
Can you direct your attention, then, to page
9
    five of this report.
10
   A. Okay.
11
    Q. In the middle of the first full paragraph,
    starting with the word "If" there's the following,
```

- 13 "On the other hand, if we are to attract non-smoker or pre-smoker, there is nothing in this type of 14
- 15 product that he would currently understand or desire.
- We have deliberately played down the role of 16
- nicotine, hence the non-smoker has little or no 17
- knowledge of what satisfactions it may offer him, and 18
- no desire to try it. Instead, we somehow must
- convince him with wholly irrational reasons that he 2.0
- 21 should try smoking, in the hope that he will for
- 22 himself then discover the real 'satisfactions'
- 23 obtainable."

- 24 Is that consistent with what you've learned from
- 25 your clinical experience, doctor?

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1418

- It is. And it's always curious in these 1
- 2. documents when they put things in quotation marks,
- this -- this has "satisfactions" in quotation marks 3
- right in the middle of it, and I think that what's
- being referred to is the effect of the drug, and --5
- and that's really what -- what this person is saying 6
- 7 because that is the effect that they're looking for.
- 8 That's when people start smoking and then they begin
- 9 to have the experience of the effect of the drug,
- that's -- that's how -- that's how it occurs. 10
- 11 Q. And doctor, can you then turn your attention to
- Exhibit 13677, which would be in the second book. 12
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 This is a Brown & Williamson document dated Q.
- August 24th, 1978, from the brand manager, H. D. 15
- Steele, to Mr. M. J. McCue, a brand manager. 16
- 17 Have you reviewed this document, sir?
- 18 A. I have.
- 19 Q. Is this one of the documents that you've used to
- 20 form the basis of your opinion?
- A. It is. 21
- Is it consistent with other documents that
- 2.3 you've seen of the defendants in their files?
- 24 A. Yes, very consistent.
- 25 MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- Exhibit 13677. 1
- 2 MR. BERNICK: No objection, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Court will receive 13677.
- 4 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 5 Q. At the top it's marked "BROWN & WILLIAMSON,
- PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA PROTECTIVE ORDER." The 6
- 7 subject of this is "Future Customer Reaction to
- Nicotine." 8
- Now in this memorandum does Mr. Steele point out 9
- 10 that Brown & Williamson was aware that few customers
- were aware of the effects of nicotine?
- 12 A. Yes, he does, in the beginning of the second

- 13 paragraph.
- 14 Q. Let me read that. "Very few customers are aware
- of the effects of nicotine, i.e., its addictive
- 16 nature and that nicotine is a poison. Most smokers
- 17 view nicotine as the number that follows the 'tar'
- 18 figure on cigarette packs. Hardly any consumers use
- 19 nicotine numbers as a basis for their purchase.
- 20 Tests have been conducted to determine the effect of
- 21 including nicotine numbers in the advertising
- 22 message, and in every case there were adverse
- 23 reactions to the ads."
- Now this knowledge on the part of Brown &
- 25 Williamson from its studies, is that consistent with STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 what you found in your clinical experience?
- A. I think that's not only correct, it's really
- 3 stated very well. Very few consumers, very few
- 4 smokers are aware of the effects of nicotine until
- 5 they get into it. And -- and the early consumers,
- 6 the starters in their words, which are basically our
- 7 children, do not know this. They -- they don't
- 8 realize that it's a toxin or a poison as well as an
- 9 addictive substance.
- 10 Q. And in your review of the documents, did you
- 11 ascertain whether or not the industry was concerned
- 12 that if the knowledge of the addictive nature of
- 13 nicotine became known to the public, the industry
- 14 would no longer be able to defend smoking as a free
- 15 choice?
- 16 A. I have seen such documents, yes.
- 17 Q. Can you direct your attention to Exhibit 14403.
- 18 This is a --
- 19 A. 14303.
- 20 Q. 14303.
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. This is a September 9th, 1980 Tobacco Institute
- 23 memorandum from Mr. Knopick, K-n-o-p-i-c-k, an
- 24 employee of The Tobacco Institute, to Mr. Kloepfer,
- 25 K-l-o-e-p-f-e-r, senior vice-president of public STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1421

1 relations.

- 2 Have you seen this before?
- 3 A. I have, yes.
 - MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 5 Exhibit 14303.
- 6 MR. BERNICK: No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: The court will receive 14303.
- 8 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 9 Q. Now doctor, this relates to Mr. Knopick's
- 10 reporting to Mr. Kloepfer on a story that the
- 11 National Institute of Drug Abuse in 1980, eight years
- 12 before the Surgeon General's report, wanted to add
- 13 the word "addictive" to the cigarette warnings. Do
- 14 you see that?
- 15 A. I do, yes. It's in the first paragraph.
- 16 Q. By the way, that last document that we saw, the
- 17 Brown & Williamson one, that was in 1978, ten years

- 18 before the Surgeon General's report?
- 19 A. Yes, it was '78.
- 20 Q. And Mr. Teague's was in 1972, about 16 years
- 21 before the Surgeon General's report; is that correct?
- 22 A. Before the '88 Surgeon General's report, yes.
- 23 Q. Now in this document on page two, Mr. Knopick
- 24 reports to Mr. Kloepfer as follows: "I feel badly
- 25 about my own lack of intelligence-gathering in this STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 situation. But I don't think the questions I now
- 2 raise are academic. Shook, Hardy" -- which is one of
- 3 the defendants' law firms defending the
- 4 defendants -- "reminds us, I'm told, that the entire
- 5 matter of addiction is the most potent weapon a
- 6 prosecuting attorney can have in a lung
- 7 cancer/cigarette case. We can't defend continued
- 8 smoking as a 'free' choice if the person was
- 9 'addicted.'" Now can an addicted person make a free
- 10 choice, or is his or her choice impacted by the drug?
- 11 A. No, and -- and in -- this actually says it very
- 12 well. Free choice is something that -- that the
- 13 defendants talk a lot about, but when you talk about
- 14 an addiction or a dependence, it's well beyond that,
- 15 it's on orders of magnitude that are very, very much
- 16 beyond that. So the dependence process and addiction
- 17 process affects the ability to make free choice.
- 18 Q. Can you turn your attention now, doctor, to
- 19 Exhibit 11938, which would be in volume one.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. This is a private and confidential matter dated
- 22 February 13th, 1962 of B.A.T. Company, Ltd.?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And have you reviewed this document?
- 25 A. I have.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 Q. And is this one of the documents that has formed
- 2 the basis of your opinions in this case?
- 3 A. It is.
- 4 Q. And with respect to the issues that it
- 5 addresses, is it consistent with other documents that
- 6 you've reviewed of the defendants in this matter?
- 7 A. Yes, it is.
- 8 MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 9 Exhibit 11938.
- 10 MR. BERNICK: No objection, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Court will receive 11938.
- 12 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 13 Q. You see first of all, the first page, "PRIVATE
- 14 AND CONFIDENTIAL, "February 13th, 1962, "THE EFFECTS
- 15 OF SMOKING, PROPOSAL FOR FURTHER RESEARCH CONTRACTS
- 16 WITH BATTELLE."
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And if you'd turn to page five, you'll see the
- 19 name of Charles Ellis, who was a senior scientist at
- 20 BATCo.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. If we go back to page one, we see, then, that

- there's certain research projects which have code
- 24 names. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1424

- 1 Q. The first one is MAD HATTER I?
- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. Which is a preliminary investigation of the
- 4 factors influencing demanding habits and literature
- 5 survey?
- 6 A. Uh-huh, yes.
- 7 Q. Then you go on to page two, MAD HATTER II,
- 8 investigation of the nicotine balance in moderate and
- 9 in heavy smokers, and the study of the social and
- 10 physiological factors in the smoking habit?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And then you go on to MAD HATTER III,
- 13 investigation of the fate of nicotine in the body,
- 14 experiments on animals, to be followed by experiments
- on human beings. See that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. If we go on, then, to page three, the next
- 18 project code name is HIPPO I, to identify and
- 19 investigate the various physiological effects
- 20 resulting from the entry of nicotine into the body.
- 21 And by the way, let me stop right there. This
- 22 overview shows when these projects were started; does
- 23 it not?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Then if we go on to the next page, there's a STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 code name ARIEL. This was a proposed project that
- 2 would be aimed at making the first steps toward an
- 3 actual smoking device as an alternative to the
- 4 cigarette; correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And then finally code name HIPPO II, and this
- 7 would be a continuation of HIPPO I and the argument
- 8 for it would rest on the relevance and importance of
- 9 the results obtained from HIPPO I; correct?
- 10 A. That's what it says.
- 11 Q. Now if you can direct your attention to page
- 12 seven.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. I'd like to direct your attention to the middle
- of the page, basically, where it says "If the
- 16 absorption...." Do you see that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. "If the absorption of nicotine is made pleasant
- 19 and attractive this enhances the benefits just as in
- 20 the case of well prepared and well served food.
- 21 However, the force of the habit or the strength of
- 22 addiction is not such as to give any grounds for
- 23 complacency in the face of alternative methods of
- stimulating the body to meet stress, and that is just where the danger lies since alternative methods are
 - STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953

- 1 becoming available. In the last few years there has
- 2 been a quite remarkable increase in the production of
- 3 tranquilizer drugs, and while most of these need a
- 4 doctor's prescription there is already one on free
- 5 sale in Switzerland. If such drugs become more
- 6 freely available they will compete with nicotine,
- 7 which was a -- which is a natural tranquilizer, and
- 8 will leave smoking primarily dependent on its
- 9 psycological effects for the maintenance of the
- 10 habit."
- Now is that consistent with what you know about
- 12 nicotine and its effect physiologically on the body,
- 13 doctor?
- 14 A. It is. And some people have the stimulant
- 15 effect from nicotine, others have a very sedating or
- 16 a calming effect. Part of that may be just the
- 17 relief of withdrawal symptoms. But it's a drug that
- 18 actually can act in two ways, it can be a stimulant
- on one hand or can it be a stress reliever or calmer
- 20 on the other hand. So it's very consistent.
- 21 Q. And does the author of this document go on to
- 22 state that BATCo needs to learn about and know all
- 23 things that constitute the hold of smoking?
- 24 A. Yes. That is, to understand addiction, the last
- 25 part of that sentence, which --

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1427

- 1 Q. Go down to the very last paragraph there. "What
- 2 we need to know above all things is what constitutes
- the hold of smoking, that is, to an understand the
- 4 addiction. We wish to be able to set up a reasonable
 - picture of the interplay of the various effects of
- 6 smoking because only by knowing in detail the
- 7 performance of our own product can we appreciate the 8 strength and vulnerability of our position."
- 9 And did you review documents during the course
- 10 of this litigation which showed that BATCo and other
- 11 of the defendants did in fact study nicotine and its
- 12 addictive qualities?
- 13 A. They did.
- 14 Q. Can you direct your attention to Exhibit 11899,
- 15 which is a Philip Morris exhibit.
- 16 A. Okay.

5

- 17 Q. This is a Philip Morris exhibit entitled "THE
- 18 CIGARETTE CONSUMER, " March 20th, 1984.
- 19 Before I ask you about this document, the last
- 20 document, doctor, that was --
- 21 A. 1962.
- 22 Q. 1962, Exhibit 11938. That was 26 years before
- 23 the Surgeon General's report; is that correct?
- 24 A. The '88 Surgeon General's report on nicotine
- 25 addiction, yes, it was.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 Q. Back, then, to Exhibit 11899. It's entitled
- 2 "THE CIGARETTE CONSUMER," March 20th, 1984. And you
- 3 did review this; correct?

- 4 A. I did.
- 5 Q. And it's one of the documents that forms the
- 6 basis for your opinions?
- 7 A. It is.
- 8 Q. And is it consistent with other documents that
- 9 you've reviewed of the defendants concerning the
- 10 subject matters contained therein?
- 11 A. Very much so.
 - MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 13 Exhibit 11899.

- MR. BERNICK: No objection.
- THE COURT: Court will receive 11899.
- 16 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 17 Q. Can you direct your attention --
- 18 Well let's first look at the first page entitled
- 19 "THE CIGARETTE CONSUMER," March 20th, 1984.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. If we go to the second page it shows what the
- 22 report will cover, who smokes, incidence; heaviness
- of use, consumption; brand loyalty, brand switching.
- 24 Can you direct your attention to page 14 of this

document.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1429

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. If we move toward the -- toward the top, do we
- 3 find Philip Morris doing the same type of consumer
- 4 research and finding the same answers as RJR and the
- 5 other companies' documents that we've already seen --
- 6 A. That's --
- 7 Q. -- in terms of who begins to smoke?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. That would be they begin smoking for peer
- 10 pressure, to rebel, or a certain independence, to
- 11 appear grown up, or to experiment; correct?
- 12 A. Those are some of the reasons, yes.
- 13 Q. And if you go to the second check right -- right
- 14 below that, "But, for the most part, people continue
- 15 to smoke because they find it too uncomfortable to
 16 quit."
- Does that relate in any way to the criteria for
- 18 substance dependence or an addiction?
- 19 A. I think it's an euphemism for withdrawal
- 20 symptoms.
- 21 Q. Okay. And the next check point is "Over 85
- 22 percent of smokers agree strongly/very strongly to "I
- 23 wish I had never began smoking." Is that consistent
- 24 with what you found in your clinical experience?
- 25 A. Absolutely.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 Q. The next check point is "Over 80 percent claim
- 2 to have attempted to quit, approximately 40 percent
- 3 to have quit for more than a week, 22
- 4 percent...serious attempt in the past 18 months." Is
- 5 that what you find in your clinical experience?
- 6 A. It actually may be an underestimate, but it
- 7 certainly -- actually in our experience I think more
- 8 people would say these answers in this day and time.

- 9 So this is probably an underestimate of what is
- 10 reality right now. More people would say that they
- 11 have attempted to stop.
- 12 Q. Doctor, can you turn, then, to Exhibit 13344.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. This is a Brown & Williamson document, protected
- 15 by the Minnesota litigation protective order,
- 16 entitled "CONFIDENTIAL" and "LIMITED," dated March
- 17 25th, 1983, subject, "PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS."
- 18 Have you reviewed this document?
- 19 A. I have, yes.
- 20 Q. Is this one of the documents that forms the
- 21 basis of your opinions?
- 22 A. It is.
- 23 Q. Is this document and the subject matter that's
- 24 contained therein consistent with other documents of
- 25 the defendants that you have reviewed?

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1431

- 1 A. It is very consistent.
- 2 MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 3 Exhibit 13344.

4

- MR. BERNICK: No objection, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Court will receive 13344.
- 6 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 7 Q. The first page is to R. A. Blott, who was senior
- 8 vice-president of domestic marketing, carbon copies
- 9 to B. L. McCafferty, who was group product director,
- 10 and L. R. Lewis, who was the director of
- 11 international brands. And we see right above
- 12 "INTERNAL CORRESPONDENCE" -- it may be difficult to
- 13 make out -- but it says "Brown & Williamson Tobacco
- 14 Corporation." And over to the right of that in
- 15 quotes is the word "LIMITED."
- 16 Can you direct your attention to page two of
- 17 that document for project recommendation, it deals
- 18 with the specific ideas of the project
- 19 recommendation, and number one is the relationship of
- 20 nicotine level to switching behavior.
- 21 "Nicotine is the addicting agent in cigarettes.
- 22 It, therefore, seems reasonable that when people
- 23 switch brands, they have a certain smoking pattern,
- 24 i.e., number of sticks/day, they will switch to a
- 25 brand at the same nicotine level.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 "I am currently examining all brands by nicotine
- 2 level and by nicotine/tar ratio levels, comparing
- 3 those correlations to switching patterns."
- 4 Now in your review of the defendants' documents,
- 5 did you see a number where these companies were
- 6 looking at tar/nicotine ratios and the addictive
- 7 nature of various types of cigarettes?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And Brown & Williamson was one of those
- 10 companies?
- 11 A. They were.
- 12 Q. Was one of the project implications of this
- 13 memorandum that they were going to increase the cocoa

- 14 flavor in cigarettes?
- 15 A. I think that's on another page. I think that
- 16 was on page two.
- 17 Q. All right. If you go to page two, this is
- 18 dealing with a specialty cigarette. If you look at
- 19 the third paragraph, "Increase the cocoa flavoring to
- 20 a subliminally-recognizable level. Chocolate, quote,
- 21 addiction, end of quote, is probably the major food
- 22 craving, and a cigarette reminiscent of sweet and
- 23 chocolate flavors could be appealing."
- Now I'm curious, doctor. They use "addiction"
- 25 here in quotes, and they talk about nicotine STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 addiction in the previous page without the quotes.
- 2 Is chocolate addicting?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Is there any relationship of chocolate to
- 5 nicotine in terms of addictive and the physiological
- 6 effects on the body?
- 7 A. Well chocolate can have physiological effects,
- 8 it's xanthine, but it's trivial in comparison to the
- 9 effects nicotine has. And furthermore, when nicotine
- 10 is delivered via cigarettes it causes over 400,000
- 11 Americans to die every year, so it's clearly very
- 12 different.
- 13 Q. Doctor, one of the criteria in substance
- 14 dependence -- set of criteria, was the continued use.
- 15 Do you recall that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Is this known as rationalization and
- 18 denial?
- 19 A. Continued use despite a known -- known
- 20 complication to a substance of dependence, in other
- 21 words, developing emphysema and continuing to use,
- 22 requires rationalization and denial in order for the
- 23 person to continue to use the substance. Otherwise
- 24 they would -- if they were, quote, rational about it,
- 25 they would not do it. So you have to rationalize STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 that, and the denial mechanism can be very, very
- 2 strong.
- 3 Q. From your review of the defendants' documents,
- 4 did you learn whether or not they were aware of this
- 5 defense mechanism of people?
- 6 A. Yes, they were.
- 7 Q. Did they capitalize on it?
- 8 A. Absolutely.
- 9 Q. How did they do that?
- 10 A. They did it by creating doubt, creating
- 11 controversy. I mean just two days ago we heard about
- 12 the health controversy is still a controversy.
- 13 There's no health controversy here. That is a --
- 14 a -- a euphemism used by the industry to continue to
- 15 stir the health controversy, as they would have you
- 16 to believe it. And the same thing is true with the
- 17 addictions controversy. This is an addictive drug
- 18 delivered by a device, the cigarette, that when it's

```
done that way is powerfully addicting. But it's --
20
    it's -- if you continue to have doubt and
21
    controversy, then a person who's already dependent on
22
    the drug will rely on that sort of information to
    allow them to continue to rationalize the use of the
2.4
    substance.
25
         The best example I can give you is when the
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1435
    nicotine patches came out in 1991, a year after that
    there were some heart attacks reported in people who
 2
    were wearing the patch and happened to smoke at the
 3
    same time. And we had patients, hundreds and
 5
    probably thousands of patients across the country,
    because they were dependent on nicotine, trying to
 6
7
    stop smoking, they would say, well gee, if it causes
    heart attacks if I use this, maybe I should stop
9
    using the patch as I continue to smoke. So that's a
    good example of rationalization. They -- they were
10
    concerned about the heart attack, but for the wrong
11
12
    reasons.
13 Q. Can you turn to Exhibit 20987. It would be in
14 volume two.
15
   A. Okay.
         This is a Tobacco Institute memorandum dated May
16
    Ο.
    1st, 1972, from Fred Panzer, a vice-president for the
17
18
    tobacco industry, to Horace Kornegay, the president
19
    of The Tobacco Institute.
20
         Have you reviewed this document?
   A. I have.
21
        And is it one of the documents that forms a part
22
    of the basis of your opinion?
23
2.4
    A. It does.
25
        And were the subject matters that were dealt
    Q.
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    with in this document also present in other of the
    defense documents that you reviewed?
 2.
    A. Yes.
 3
              MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
 4
 5
    Exhibit 20987.
              MR. BERNICK: No objection to the
 6
7
    introduction of the document, Your Honor. I have an
    objection to the expertise of this witness. I don't
9
    think an adequate foundation has been laid to
    establish his expertise with regard to consumer
10
11
    behavior and the perceptions of consumers, and we
12
    also think -- we think it falls outside of the scope
13
    of the expertise that has been covered by his report.
14
              THE COURT: Court will receive 20987.
15
    BY MR. CIRESI:
16
    Q. First of all, we see the date is May 1, 1972,
17
    and I want to deal with the -- first the general
    comments. The subject of this is "The Roper
18
    Proposal, " proposal made by a consultant to The
19
20
    Tobacco Institute.
21
         "It is my strong belief that we now have an
22
    opportunity to take the initiative in the cigarette
23
    controversy, and start to turn it around.
```

```
24
          "For nearly twenty years, this industry has
25
     employed a single strategy to defend itself on three
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    major fronts -- litigation, politics, and public
 1
    opinion.
 3
          "While the strategy was brilliantly conceived
    and executed over the years helping us to win
 4
    important battles, it is only fair to say that it is
 5
    not -- nor was it intended to be -- a vehicle for
 6
7
    victory. On the contrary, it has always been a
    holding strategy, consisting of, " one, "creating
 8
9
    doubt about the health charge without actually
10
    denying it."
11
         Now doctor, this is 1972 and it's referring to
12
    almost 20 years ago, which would be 1952. The Frank
13
    Statement, which is Exhibit 30210, in 1954, and
    specifically January 4th of 1954, made the following
14
15
    statement: "We accept an interest in people's health
16
    as a basic responsibility, paramount to every other
17
    consideration in our business.
18
          "We always have and always will cooperate
19
    closely with those whose task it is to safeguard the
20
    public health."
         Now this statement was made right after the
21
    mouse-painting experiments; correct?
22
              MR. BERNICK: Your Honor, I object to the
23
    form of the question. There was a recital that
24
25
    pertained to the prior document, there was then a
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1438
    recital from this document and then another question,
 1
    so I think the question is objectionable on the
    grounds of form.
 3
          We also continue to object to this line of
 4
 5
    examination through this witness. We seek the
    opportunity to conduct voir dire in order to
 6
7
    establish that it lies beyond the scope of his
    expertise. We also think it invades the province of
 8
    the jury. And I understand that Your Honor ruled on
9
10
    the document, but I'm now objecting to the line of
11
    questioning.
              THE COURT: Objection overruled.
12
13
              MR. BERNICK: Can I have a --
14
              THE COURT: Objection overruled.
15
              MR. BERNICK: A request --
16
              THE COURT: Please be seated, counsel.
17
    BY MR. CIRESI:
18
    Q. Now sir, that statement was made almost 20 years
19
    before this document, 20987; correct?
20
    A. Yes.
21
         Okay. And in this document, 20987, The Tobacco
    Institute states that their policy was to create
22
23
    doubt about the health charge without actually
24
    denying it.
         Now from your review of the defendants'
25
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
```

- documents in this case, did you find that that was their policy?
- 3 A. Absolutely.
- 4 MR. BERNICK: Your Honor, can I have a
- 5 continuing objection to this line of questioning so I 6 don't have to keep on standing up and make it?
- 7 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 8 MR. BERNICK: Thank you.
- 9 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 10 Q. And can you turn over to the next page of this
- 11 document where it says "THE STRATEGIC IMPASSE."
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. "As an industry, therefore, we are committed to
- 14 an ill-defined middle ground which is articulated by
- 15 variations on the theme that, quote, the case is not
- 16 proved."
- 17 Did your review of the defendants' documents in
- 18 this case show that that was their theme, carried out
- 19 by them since 1954?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And finally, the second-to-the-last paragraph
- 22 under "THE STRATEGIC IMPASSE." "In the cigarette
- 23 controversy, the public -- especially those who are
- 24 present and potential supporters (e.g. tobacco state
- 25 congressmen and heavy smokers) -- must perceive,

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1440

- understand, and believe in evidence to sustain their opinions that smoking may not be the causal factor."
- Now, was there evidence in the documents you
- 4 reviewed that as early as 1958 the industry knew that
- 5 there was almost universal agreement that smoking
- 6 caused lung cancer?
- 7 A. Yes, there is.
- 8 Q. Can you turn to Exhibit 11028.
- 9 A. Say that again.
- 10 Q. 11028, which would be in volume one.
- 11 A. Volume one. Okay.
- 12 Q. This is a report on a visit to the United States
- 13 and Canada, April 17th to May 12th, 1958, report
- 14 prepared by three scientists of BATCo, Ltd., Mr.
- 15 Bentley, B-e-n-t-l-e-y, Mr. Felton, F-e-l-t-o-n, and
- 16 Mr. Reid, R-e-i-d.
- 17 Have you reviewed this document, sir?
- 18 A. I have.
- 19 Q. Is this one of the documents that forms a part
- 20 of the basis of your opinions in this case?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- 22 Q. Is it consistent with the other documents you
- 23 reviewed with regard to the subject matters that
- 24 you're testifying about here in court?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 2 Exhibit 11028.
- 3 MR. BERNICK: Your Honor, we have an
- 4 objection to this document. This document actually

```
was authored by three individuals, the lead author, I
    believe, was at the time an employee of the Imperial
 6
7
    Tobacco Corporation.
8
              THE COURT: Counsel, state -- state your
9
    legal objection.
10
              MR. BERNICK: Okay. We think that it is
    hearsay and contains hearsay, Your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: Counsel, can you lay more
12
13
    foundation for this exhibit, please.
14
    BY MR. CIRESI:
    Q. Is it indicated on the bottom that it's a BATCo,
15
    Ltd., Minnesota tobacco litigation?
17
    A. Yes, it does.
              MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, this is being
18
19
    offered under 803(6), 803(16), ancient document, and
20
    801(d)(2), admissions by party opponents, and 801(e),
    admissions by co-conspirators.
2.1
22
              THE COURT: Yes, counsel.
23
              MR. BERNICK: It was produced by BATCo, but
    that does not establish that it was generated in the
24
25
    ordinary course of business by BATCo. The ancient
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    records exception, even were it to apply, still would
    not take care of the second layer of hearsay in that
    it reports hearsay statements; it simply establishes
 3
    that the document itself is not hearsay. This has
 5
    hearsay within hearsay, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Court will receive 11028.
 6
   BY MR. CIRESI:
7
8
    Q. Put the title page up, please. Thank you.
         Title page is entitled "REPORT ON VISIT TO
9
10 U.S.A. AND CANADA, " 17th of April to 12th May 1958,"
    by H. R. Bentley, D. G. I. Felton, and W. W. Reid.
11
    On the bottom, shows it was produced by B.A.T.
    Company, Ltd. out of their files, in the Minnesota
13
14
    tobacco litigation.
15
         First of all, doctor, does the first page of the
16 document list the itinerary of those individuals who
17 went to the United States and Canada to seek certain
18
    information?
19
    A. Yes, from April the 17th through the middle of
2.0
    May looks like.
   Q. And I'd like to just review some of those with
2.1
22 you. First of all they visited American Tobacco
23 Company in Richmond; correct?
24
    A. Correct.
25
         One of those individuals was Mr. H. R. Hamer or
    Q.
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                   1443
 1
    Harmer, H-a -- I believe it's Hamer, H-a-m-e-r;
    correct?
 2
    A. That's what it says.
 3
        And Dr. Harlan?
 4
    Ο.
 5
    A. Correct.
    Q. And Mr. Harlow?
 6
 7
   A. Right.
```

8 Q. He also visited the Medical College of Virginia?

9 A. Yes.

- 10 Q. Richmond, Virginia.
- 11 Duke University. Liggett & Myers. And they
- 12 visited with Mr. Darkis, D-a-r-k-i-s, and -- I should
- 13 say Dr. Darkis, excuse me, and Dr. Bates at Liggett &
- 14 Myers; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. They also visited Richmond -- in Richmond,
- 17 Virginia, Philip Morris, with Mr. Seligman,
- 18 S-e-l-i-g-m-a-n, and Mr. O'Keefe.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. They visited with three individuals -- or two
- 21 individuals in New York at The Tobacco Institute
- 22 Research Committee, a Mr. Hoyt and a Mr. Carl Thomson
- 23 from Hill & Knowlton.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. They visited the Roswell Park Memorial Institute STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- in Buffalo, Yale University, the Biological Research
- 2 Institute in Cambridge, the Roscoe Jackson Laboratory
- 3 in Bar Harbor, the Industry Technical Committee of
- 4 The Tobacco Institute Research Committee in Richmond,
- 5 and the individuals they met with Mr., Mr. Mr.
- 6 Hanmer, the chairman, Mr. Hoyt and Dr. Hockett. They
- 7 visited the National Cancer Institute in Bethesda,
- 8 Maryland. They visited Johns Hopkins Hospital in
- 9 Baltimore, the New York University in New York. They
- 10 visited the TIRC, Tobacco Institute Research
- 11 Committee, in New York and met with its Scientific
- 12 Advisory Board chairman, Clarence Cook Little and Dr.
- 13 Hockett. They met with individuals at the
- 14 Sloan-Kettering Cancer Institute in New York, and
- 15 they also met with the Scientific Advisory Board of
- 16 the TIRC in New York, and they met in Montreal with
- 17 Dr. Wright at the University of Toronto.
- Now if you can turn to the second page, sir,
- 19 does it list there the information they were seeking?
- 20 A. Yes, it does.
- 21 Q. I'd like to direct your attention to just two of
- 22 those issues. Number one, first, "the extent to
- 23 which is it -- it is accepted that cigarette smoke
- 24 causes lung cancer."
- Now again, the date of this is 1958; correct?

 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. Fourteen years before that Tobacco Institute
- 3 document we just looked at; am I right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Direct your attention to "'CAUSATION' OF LUNG
- 6 CANCER" on this page one.
- 7 "With one exception (H.S.N. Greene)" -- I
- 8 believe he was from Yale University -- "the
- 9 individuals whom we met believed that smoking causes
- lung cancer if by 'causation' we mean any chain of events which leads finally to lung cancer and which
- 12 involves smoking as an indispensable link. In the
- 13 U.S.A. only Berkson, apparently, is now prepared to
- 14 doubt the statistical evidence and his reasoning is

- 15 nowhere thought to be sound."
- 16 Now I think Mr. Berkson was mentioned by one of
- 17 the lawyers -- or Dr. Berkson, excuse me, one of the
- 18 lawyers in their opening statements. He used to be
- 19 at the Mayo Clinic?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. What was his field of specialty?
- 22 A. He was an epidemiologist, actually probably
- 23 before the whole field developed. He did statistics
- 24 and epidemiology.
- 25 Q. Okay. Thank you.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1446

- 1 They then below that reference Mr. -- or Dr.
- 2 Greene from Yale, and they state the following about
- 3 Dr. Greene. This is the third paragraph: "Greene of
 - Yale still says that his repeated failure to produce
- 5 carcinoma by implanting lung tissue along with
- 6 tobacco smoke condensate into the muscles of mice is
- 7 conclusive evidence that smoke cannot cause lung
- 8 cancer. His experiments were not done
- 9 quantitatively, however, and on these grounds alone
- 10 the conclusion which he draws is certainly not
- 11 justified."
- Now in the conclusions of this document, are
- 13 there statements made by these individuals based upon
- 14 their review of this issue with all of these
- 15 individuals at these various medical institutions and
- 16 with the defendants themselves, such as American
- 17 Tobacco, Liggett & Myers, Philip Morris and the TIRC?
- 18 Do they make conclusions?
- 19 A. Yes. It's on page eight.
- 20 Q. Go to page eight, please. And doctor, can you
- 21 read conclusion one and conclusion three.
- 22 A. Conclusion one reads, "Although there remains
- 23 some doubt as to the proportion of the total lung
- 24 cancer mortality which can fairly be attributed to
- 25 smoking, scientific opinion in the U.S.A. does not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 now seriously doubt that the statistical correlation
- 2 is real and reflects a cause and effect
- 3 relationship."
 - And then number three, "The direct
- 5 carcinogenicity of smoke condensate to animal tissue,
- 6 which is consistent with direct causation, is now
- 7 fully confirmed but the evidence so far obtained
- 8 makes it unlikely that this activity is due to any
- 9 single 'super carcinogen' in smoke."
- 10 Q. Doctor, we saw earlier the 1994 congressional
- 11 hearings in front of Representative Waxman where the
- 12 seven executives lined up and denied that cigarette
- 13 smoking was addictive. Do you recall that?
- 14 A. I recall that, yes.
- 15 Q. Was there also testimony at that hearing on
- 16 behalf of the industry with regard to whether smoking
- 17 causes disease?
- 18 A. There was.
- 19 Q. Okay. And this would be some 36 years after

```
this document?
    A. That's correct.
21
    Q. Do you know if this document that we're
22
23
    presently on, Exhibit 11028, ever saw the light of
    day before this litigation?
24
25
         Not to my knowledge.
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    Q. Can you turn to Exhibit 4700, which would be in
    the same volume.
 2
    A. Okay.
 3
              MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
 4
    Exhibit 4700. It's the hearings -- the transcript of
 5
 6
    the hearings before the Subcommittee on Health and
    the Environment of the Committee on Energy and
7
    Commerce, House of Representatives, 103rd Congress,
8
9
    Second Session, April 28th, May 17th and May 26th,
10
    1994. It's a public record.
              MR. BERNICK: Your Honor, I believe that
11
    the document is hearsay. The testimony was not taken
12
    pursuant to the rules, and I don't believe that the
13
14
    record reflects a foundation for this witness to be
    able to testify concerning this document.
15
16
              THE COURT: Well I can't imagine Congress
    having a hearing that is only one page long.
17
             MR. CIRESI: We have the entire document,
18
    Your Honor. Just so we didn't have to put it all in.
19
20
              THE COURT: Are you going to be introducing
21
    the entire document?
22
              MR. CIRESI: Yes, Your Honor. The exhibit
23
    number is the entire document.
24
              THE COURT: Okay.
25
              MR. BERNICK: Our objection then would
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                   1449
 1
    certainly still stand. It contains numerous
    statements from numerous parties not subject to
 3
    cross-examination.
            THE COURT: It's a public record. It will
 4
 5
    be allowed, 4700.
 6
    BY MR. CIRESI:
7
    Q. I'd like to go to page 375 where Mr. Glenn, the
   let of CTR, Council for Tobacco Research, testified
8
9
    as follows:
10
         "Question: Do you believe that smoking causes
11 cancer?
12
         "No, sir.
13
          "Do you believe that smoking is addictive?
14
         "No, sir."
15
         To this day, doctor, do you know if any of these
16 defendants have ever publicly admitted that smoking
17
    causes lung cancer or any cancer?
18
    A. Only the Liggett Group, I think, has admitted
19
    that.
20
         And that was since the institution of this
21
    lawsuit?
22 A. That's correct.
23 Q. Can you go back, now, to Exhibit 11028. In this
24 document did the Liggett company make some comments
```

- 25 to these industry representatives with respect to the STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 Council for -- the CTR, which Mr. Glenn was the head
- of in 1994, but which was called the TIRC back in
- 3 1958, are there comments made by Liggett regarding
- 4 that organization?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Can you direct your attention to page five.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. And could you read into the record what Liggett
- 9 & Myers told these industry representatives about
- 10 TIRC in 1958.
- 11 A. It's kind of in the middle of the page, ATTITUDE
- 12 OF U.S. INDUSTRY TO BIOLOGICAL TESTING" is the
- 13 heading. "Liggett & Myers stayed out of TIRC
- 14 originally because they doubted the sincerity of TIRC
- 15 motives and believed that the organization was too
- 16 unwieldly to work efficiently. They remain convinced
- 17 their misgivings were justified. In their opinion
- 18 TIRC has done little if anything constructive, the
- 19 constantly reiterated "not proven" statements in the
- 20 face of mounting contrary evidence has thoroughly
- 21 discredited TIRC, and the SAB" -- that's the
- 22 Scientific Advisory Board -- "of the TIRC is
- 23 supporting almost without exception projects that are
- 24 not related directly to smoking and lung cancer.
- 25 Liggetts felt that the problem was sufficiently STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

1451

- 1 serious to justify large-scale investment by the
- Company directly in research on their own.
- 3 Q. And in this Exhibit 11028, in 1958, do the
- 4 industry representatives also talk about the
- 5 marketing practices of the industry in America at
- 6 that time?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Can you direct your attention to page eight.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. Under "FILTRATION AND RELATED PROBLEMS." Do you
- 11 see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. I'd like you to go down to the paragraph
- 14 starting with the word "Although...."
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. "Although TIRC officially still takes the view
- 17 that 'causation' is not proven, in practice the
- 18 industry in U.S.A. has found a good deal of common
- 19 ground with the opposition. Unfortunately, however,
- 20 this not taken the form -- this has taken the form of
- 21 a highly undesirable competitive scramble for a
- 22 cigarette with the smallest amount of smoke
- 23 consistent with good flavor, paren, 'maximum
- 24 filtration for the smoothest smoke', close paren, and
- 25 advertising with implied health claims is in full STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

```
1
    cry."
 2
         What is being referred to there, sir?
         Well it's the change so that if there are
 3
    implied health claims about a cigarette, then for a
    person who is using the product, that reassures them.
   If -- so if there are health claims being made that a
 6
    cigarette is safer or less hazardous, then the
 7
    individual is reassured by that.
9
    Q. In 1958, was the industry engaged in what became
10
    known as the tar derby because they were reducing tar
11
    in cigarettes?
12 A. Yes.
    Q. When you reduce tar, do you reduce nicotine?
13
14
        They go together. They go hand in hand.
    Α.
        I'd like to now direct your attention to a
15
16
    document that came six months -- or excuse me.
17
         I would like to now direct your attention to a
18 document that came six years after the 1958 document.
19
20
    Q.
        If you could direct your attention to Exhibit
21
    10322, a Philip Morris document dated February 18th,
22
    1964.
23
    Α.
         Okay.
24
         This is a document on the stationery of Philip
    Q.
    Morris Research Center, "SMOKING AND HEALTH,
25
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
    SIGNIFICANCE OF THE REPORT OF THE SURGEON GENERAL'S
    COMMITTEE TO PHILIP MORRIS INCORPORATED, EVALUATION
 2.
   REPORT."
 3
 4
         Is this one of the documents that you reviewed,
 5
    sir?
 6
    Α.
        Yes, it is.
 7
        And does it form part of the basis for your
    Q.
    opinions in this case?
 8
    A. Yes, it does.
9
        Is the information contained in here information
10 Q.
11
    that was addressed in other of the defendants'
12 documents that you reviewed?
    A. Yes, it is.
13
        Can you direct your attention to the page which
14
    Q.
    is typed that says "February 18, 1964."
15
16
              MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
17
    Exhibit 10322.
18
              MR. BERNICK: No objection.
19
              THE COURT: The court will receive 10322.
20
   BY MR. CIRESI:
21
    Q. Shows the date, the title of the report,
    "SMOKING AND HEALTH, SIGNIFICANCE OF THE REPORT OF
22
    THE SURGEON GENERAL'S COMMITTEE TO PHILIP MORRIS
23
24
    INCORPORATED, " and then it shows a distribution list
25
    including Hugh Cullman, who was a senior executive,
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1454
    and also Dr. Wakeham, who we've heard from
 1
    previously.
 2
         In this report, is there reference to the
 3
 4 validity of the Surgeon General's report of 1964?
    A. There is.
```

```
And what was the major finding of the Surgeon
 6
 7
    General's report of 1964?
    A. That cigarette smoking caused lung cancer.
 8
9
    Q. Can you direct your attention to the first page,
    which has a number of the top that says 567.
10
11
         Okay. And the Bates number, last three, are
12
    Ο.
13
    615.
14
    A. Okay.
         "INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY." And I'd like to
15
    Ο.
16
    direct your attention to the second paragraph.
17
          "The onus of proof has been moved by the
    report" --
18
19
         Which report is being referred to there?
20
         The Surgeon General's report, the '64 report.
21
         -- "from its usual position with the industry's
    accusers to the tobacco industry itself. Meeting
2.2
23
    this challenge affords Philip Morris a splendid
    opportunity to gain a competitive edge through
25
    effective technical activity. Positive programs to
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1455
    cure ills cited in this report, whether real or
 1
    alleged, are recommended, as little basis for
    disputing the findings at this time has appeared."
 3
         Now is this consistent with what the industry
 4
    found out six years earlier when they did their own
 5
 6
    internal survey?
 7
    A. Yes.
 8
         Can you turn to the next page.
    Q.
9
         Was there a recommendation in this report that
    due to the Surgeon General's report and competitive
10
    pressures, that the industry ought break up its
11
12
    common front?
13
    A. Yes.
    Q. Did the industry, based on your review of the
14
15
    documents, break up its common front in denying
16
    causation over the ensuing decades?
17
    A. No.
         If you look at the bottom of this page two,
18
    states as follows, "Health impact will surely be an
19
    important, perhaps the most important, basis for
20
21
    competition in the industry in the next few years.
2.2
    Competitive pressures suggest a break up of the
    common front approach of the industry through TI and
23
24
    TIRC. While R. J. Reynolds continues to advocate a
    joint front, sit tight, status quo approach, paren,
25
                    STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
 1
    it has the most to lose from any change in status
    quo, close paren, others like American and Liggett
    and Myers, sanguine for improved competitive
 3
    positions, show signs of bolting and have capitalized
 4
 5
    with their new products on early reactions to the
    report. The greater the long term market impact of
 6
 7
    the report, the more intense will there be health
    competition, which is to say technical competition,
 8
 9
    among major tobacco companies."
```

Now doctor, post-1964, did the defendants

- 11 continue to reduce tar and nicotine?
- 12 A. They reduced the tar and nicotine deliveries,
- 13 yes.
- 14 Q. And during that time did they make implied
- 15 health claims about the cigarettes?
- 16 A. Yes, they did.
- 17 Q. During that period of time did they also
- 18 investigate the nicotine technology?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And during that period of time were there
- 21 advertisements with respect to the implied health
- 22 claims that they were making?
- 23 A. Yes, there were.
- 24 Q. During that period of time did they -- from your
- 25 review of the documents, did they continue to feed STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 information to smokers which would serve to support
- 2 the rationalization and denial that you've talked
- 3 about?
- 4 A. Yes, they did.
- 5 Q. Can you direct your attention to Exhibit 11102.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. This is a B.A.T/B&W document dated October 18th,
- 8 1979?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And it's produced by B.A.T. Company, Ltd.?
- 11 A. Yes
- 12 Q. Is this one of the documents that you reviewed
- 13 during the course of this litigation?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- 15 Q. Is it one of the documents that forms part of
- 16 the basis of your opinion?
- 17 A. Yes, it is.
- 18 Q. And is it consistent with regard to the subject
- 19 matters that the other documents you reviewed dealt
- 20 with?
- 21 A. Very much so.
- MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 23 Exhibit 11102.
- MR. BERNICK: No objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Court will receive 11102. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 2 Q. Now the title of this document is "CIGARETTE
- 3 SMOKING, HEALTH, AND DISSONANCE (PROJECT LIBRA)."
- 4 And you'll see a distribution list on the left-hand
- 5 side there.
- 6 Can you direct your attention, first, to page 84 of this document.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. And at that part of this report, is B.A.T and
- 10 B&W dealing with the rationalization and health
- 11 reassurance issues, doctor?
- 12 A. Yes, they are.
- 13 Q. And can you point out where that is?
- 14 A. Well it's really down in the -- "How does the
- 15 smoker remain a smoker despite apparently good

- 16 reasons for him to quit? One way of reducing the
- 17 conflict" -- and that's the internal conflict for a
- 18 smoker who may recognize that -- that they should
- 19 quit, so -- "One way of reducing the conflict within
- 20 the smoker is to deny, devalue or otherwise
- 21 rationalize the health argument." And that fits into
- 22 the addictive process of denial and rationalization.
- 23 Q. And it goes on to say that "The four modes of
- 24 potential conflict reduction discussed so far rely on
- 25 either a fatalistic disposition to health or a faith STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- l in 'safer' smoking, or a denial of anti-smoking
- 2 information."
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And did you have an understanding of whether or
- 5 not The Tobacco Institute's policy, as enunciated in
- 6 that exhibit we saw, to create doubt, was that
- 7 intended to create doubt in smokers to give them an
- 8 ability to deny?
- 9 MR. BERNICK: Objection, Your Honor. I
- 10 believe that that invades the province of the jury.
- 11 There's not adequate foundation for him to speak to
- 12 the intent of a statement.
- 13 THE COURT: You'll have to rephrase the
- 14 question, counsel.
- 15 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 16 Q. Did The Tobacco Institute document reflect that
- 17 the tobacco industry was attempting to create a
- 18 controversy?
- MR. BERNICK: Again, Your Honor, that is
- 20 precisely the question the jury will have to decide.
- THE COURT: No, that's a different
- 22 question. You may answer that.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the
- 24 question?
- MR. CIRESI: Sure. Can you read it back, STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 please.
- 2 (Record read by the court reporter.)
- 3 A. Yes, it did. And they were.
- 4 Q. And from your review of the documents of the
- 5 various defendants, did you find that that is what
- 6 they were doing during this period of time?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And if you go to page 80 of this document, --
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. -- another term that you mentioned was
- 11 "rationalization," and does this document reflects --
- 12 reflect B.A.T and B&W's understanding and knowledge
- 13 of that conflict?
- 14 A. Yes, it does.
- 15 Q. Is that at the top of that page?
- 16 A. They use the term. They describe it very
- 17 accurately. Rationalization through modifying
- 18 smoking behavior is a feasible means of conflict
- 19 reduction. When a person is using a drug and they
- 20 know that it's possibly causing them harm and they

- 21 continue to use the drug, that's part of the
- 22 addictive process. So the way that a person
- 23 overcomes that internal conflict is to rationalize
- 24 that and to try to explain it away.
- 25 Q. And with regard to low tar/low nicotine, doctor, STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 we've heard the term "compensation." Were the
- 2 defendants aware of compensation of smokers in
- 3 smoking low tar --
- 4 A. Yes, they were.
- 5 Q. -- low nicotine cigarettes?
- 6 A. Yes, they were.
- 7 Q. Did they take any action to exploit that
- 8 conduct?
- 9 A. Absolutely.
- 10 Q. Okay. And how do you know this?
- 11 A. My patients tell me. If you ask the question:
- 12 Why did you switch to low tar/low nicotine cigarette?
- 13 They say they thought it was safer. That's how they
- 14 do it.
- 15 Q. And did the defendants' own documents reflect
- 16 that?
- 17 A. Yes, they did.
- 18 Q. Now when you're treating people in the Nicotine
- 19 Dependence Clinic, do you do a demonstration for them
- 20 on compensation?
- 21 A. Yes, we talk about it, because most of them
- 22 don't understand it at all. They don't understand
- 23 about low tar/low nicotine cigarettes.
- 24 Q. And does the demonstration have to do with the
- 25 ventilation holes on the cigarette?

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 A. That's the most obvious thing that you can
- 2 demonstrate to the patients.
- 3 Q. And do you have a pack of Marlboro Reds and
- 4 Marlboro Lights and Merits with you?
- 5 A. I do.
- 6 Q. Okay. And can you demonstrate that for the
- 7 ladies and gentlemen of the jury?
- 8 A. Sure can.
- 9 MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, could he step down
- 10 to them?
- 11 THE COURT: You're not going to light it,
- 12 are you, doctor?
- THE WITNESS: No, sir. I'm even nervous
- 14 about having them in my pocket actually.
- 15 Actually I want to draw first.
- 16 Can you see?
- 17 A. When we talk about the cigarette, we talk about
- 18 the cigarette as a rod, and we've heard about the
- 19 filtering mechanism of the rod. And how this all
- 20 works is it burns on this end, and the gases are
- 21 formed. Nicotine is in a liquid form to begin with
- 22 and it is basically just distilled across the rod.
- 23 As it -- as it burns it heats up, it volatizes, it
- 24 becomes a gas, and then as it cools it becomes a
- 25 droplet again, and then it comes out the end of the

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1463

cigarette in the form of an aerosol, which is basically a tar particle. And I'll make a great big one. And on that tar particle, in the aerosol, is water and also nicotine. So it's a -- when you blow smoke out, smoke is an aerosol.

6 When the measurements are made with the Federal Trade Commission machines, it basically measures the 7 air and gas mixture, smoke mixture that comes out of 8 the end of the cigarette. And if you think about it 9 as a billows mechanism, and this is the only way I 10 11 can draw it, with the cigarette over here burning, 12 and then the billows goes up and down but it goes up and down at the same inhalation every time, so it 13 14 draws in a certain amount of smoke into -- into this 15 chamber. And then the smoke, which I'll just depict 16 like this, is taken out, and for simplistic purposes 17 is run through a gauge or a certain measuring device to measure the tar and nicotine levels. And that's 18 what's reported on the cartons of cigarettes and in 19 20 the advertisements. This is the level that's 21 reported.

One way of fooling the machine, if you will, is to reduce the concentration of these particles in the -- in the chamber. So if indeed this is a, quote, full-flavored cigarette, with that amount STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1464

of -- of particles in there, that will measure a certain amount, and if you put a cigarette over here, a, quote, low tar/low nicotine cigarette, and the amount of particles that come in are more dilute, then when you do the measurement over here on this side, it will read much lower.

And so one way of doing that -- and there are multiple ways of doing it, but the most common way of doing it is to modify the cigarette in order for there to be more air to come in. And one way of doing that is to put ventilation holes on the filter. And so when you have ventilation holes on the filter, it entrains air into the stream and makes the smoke more dilute. So that you end up with this situation: more dilute smoke coming in, and the measurement is low.

Now the problem with this is that this is a machine. It takes a single puff in a certain volume every time. It also doesn't get emphysema and lung cancer, but -- and they use them over and over again, but it does it every -- every time the same way. But smokers don't smoke that way. Smokers compensate for this by taking deeper breaths and holding their breath longer so that they can extract a larger amount of nicotine from the cigarette than the STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1465

1 machines can.

22

23

24 25

7

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And one way of looking at that will be to look at some cigarettes and looking at the ventilation 3 holes. So we'll start out with a Marlboro Red, which 4 is a -- what I used to smoke. It doesn't say on here that it's a full-flavored cigarette, but I think that 6 7 it probably is. It does say you get five miles if you buy a pack. I'm not sure what -- what that 8 9 actually means. So let's look at this cigarette and see what's 10 in it. So I'll cut it this way, and of course you 11 can see the tobacco, and then -- get a little light 12 here -- and then you can see little holes. See the 13 little holes? 14 15 Can you pass that around? 16 And that's a full-flavored cigarette. 17 And then if you look at a Marlboro light, which 18 is a lower tar and nicotine cigarette, cut it 19 apart -- and by the way, the tobacco in these two 20 cigarettes is the same. Same tobacco. No difference in the tobacco. And that's the thing that the 21 22 patients don't understand at all. They think that something's been done to the tobacco, but the tobacco 23 in the Marlboro Light and the regular Marlboro is the 24 25 same. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT And so for this one, you can kind of compare the 1 differences, because instead of one layer -- one row 2. of holes, this one has two rows of holes. More 3 ventilation. 4 5 And then if you go to one that says the low tar enriched flavor, I'm not exactly sure what that 6 7 means, but lower tar delivery in this scheme of things, and this is a Merit, and so this one has two 8 9 rows of holes, but they're more obvious, aren't they? 10 They're much larger. So if you put them all together -- I don't know 11 12 where the other light went -- but if you put them all together, then -- then you can identify the holes. 14 Let me get the light and I'll show Your Honor. Would you like to see these, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: When they're finished. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 So the Merit has bigger holes, same general 19 vicinity, two rows. 20 When I did this demonstration to a group of 21 patients, oh, couple weeks ago, the patients are 22 always a little bit embarrassed to say that they have 23 cigarettes with them, so I usually just ask do you have any cigarettes with you, because they're using 24 25 the process of trying to stop when they have a group STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT program, and one gentleman had a package of Kent III, Roman numeral III, which is an ultralight, and it had 2 3 six rows of ventilation holes. So he was getting a lot of air mixed in, but he was able to compensate

for that by taking deeper breaths, holding his breath

longer, and able to get nicotine.

```
This is the Marlboro, and then -- it's one
    little thin line of holes. See it?
8
9
         I'll clean this mess up later.
10
              THE COURT: Maybe we should take a short
    recess and allow the doctor to clean up the mess.
11
12
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13
              (Recess taken.)
14
              THE CLERK: All rise. Court is again in
15
    session.
16
              (Jury enters the courtroom.)
              THE CLERK: Please be seated.
17
              MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we'd offer trial
18
    Exhibit 30252, 30112. The first exhibit is a box of
19
20
    Marlboro Lights, second Marlboro Reds, Exhibit 30114,
21
    which is a box of Merit, and Exhibits 25005 and
22
    25006, the last two for illustrative purposes, they
    are the handwritten notes on the write board.
23
              MR. BERNICK: No objection, Your Honor.
2.4
25
              THE COURT: Court will receive those as
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1468
    exhibits.
 1
    BY MR. CIRESI:
 2
    Q. Doctor, if we could for one minute before we go
    on on this issue, I'd like to go back to Exhibit
    11938, which is in volume one. It's the B.A.T 1962
 5
    document.
 6
 7
    A. Okay.
    Q. As you'll recall, this was the document that was
 8
9
    dealing with the various proposed projects, MAD
10
    HATTER I, MAD HATTER II, et cetera.
11
         Yes.
    Q. And if you turn to page nine of that document,
12
    with regard to BATCo's state of knowledge with regard
13
    to nicotine and research into nicotine vis-a-vis
    knowledge that was in the scientific literature back
15
16
    at that time, and does the author of this report
17
    state what the status of BATCo's knowledge is?
         They did. First -- first paragraph on the
19
    screen.
    Q. "As a result of these various researches we now
20
    possess a knowledge of the effects of nicotine far
21
    more extensive than exists in published scientific
2.3
    literature. It is indeed so extensive and represents
    so much new thought that it is not easy to condense
24
25
    the material of these several reports and working
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1469
    papers without the risk of over-simplification."
 1
         Doctor, when you looked at the defendants'
    documents, did you find, as a person who has been
    trained in this field, new information regarding
    nicotine and its addictive qualities?
 5
 6
    A. I did.
    Q. If we could go back, then, to the lower tar/
 7
 8
    lower nicotine cigarettes, approximately what
   percentage of people smoke those type of cigarettes?
 9
10 A. I think the vast majority, it's over 80 percent
in the current environment, smoke low tar/low
```

- 12 nicotine cigarettes.
- 13 Q. Have the lung cancer rates gone down since low
- 14 tar and low nicotine came on the market?
- 15 A. No, they have not.
- 16 Q. I'd like to now direct your attention to the
- 17 defendants' knowledge with respect to low tar and low
- 18 nicotine, specifically with regard to smokers' use of
- 19 those types of cigarettes.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. Can you direct your attention to Exhibit 11889.
- 22 And this is a document that's been introduced into
- 23 evidence. It's a Philip Morris document, the
- 24 cigarette consumer of March 20th, 1984.
- 25 A. 11899?

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1470

- 1 Q. It's 11899.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. And in that document, can you turn to page ten.
- 4 Does Philip Morris reflect there what people think
- 5 with regard to safety of low tar/low nicotine based
- 6 on their own research?
- 7 A. They do.
- 8 Q. And if we look at the lower part of that page,
- 9 it states, "Historically, motivation has come from
- 10 health issue, people willing to stick with lower tar
- 11 because they are doing themselves a favor, most
- 12 successful new brands have had low tar/health
- 13 motivation, colon, Merit."
- 14 Is that the type of information that you saw in
- 15 the defendants' documents as you reviewed them?
- 16 A. It is. Yes, it is.
- 17 Q. If you go to Exhibit 17994, which is a Lorillard
- 18 document dealing with this subject matter, and that
- 19 would be in volume two -- 17994.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. The title of that document is "SHF CIGARETTE
- 22 MARKETPLACE, OPPORTUNITIES SEARCH AND SITUATION
- 23 ANALYSIS, VOLUME II, Management Report" for
- 24 Lorillard, December 1976. Is this one of the
- 25 documents you've reviewed?

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 A. Yes, it is.
- 2 Q. Does it form part of the basis of your opinions
- 3 in this case?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.
- 5 Q. Is it the type of information that you found in
- 6 other documents of the defendants that you were
- 7 reviewing?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 10 Exhibit 17994.
- 11 MR. BERNICK: No objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Court will receive 17994.
- 13 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 14 Q. On the title page, doctor, it says "SHF
- 15 CIGARETTE MARKETPLACE."
- 16 A. Yes.

- 17 Q. Is SHF super high filtration?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. If you could, please, would you turn to page
- 20 seven first.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. And this deals with low tar and nicotine
- 23 cigarettes.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And first of all I'd like to direct your STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 attention to the first part. "What is tar? What is 2 nicotine?
- "When separately discussed, nicotine is usually
 defined as a chemical or an addictive drug; tar is
 seen as a thick, gooey residue.
- "Most smokers, however, do not really understand what tar and nicotine are, or the difference between the two. 'Tar and nicotine' is a term commonly used as a single word."
- 10 Is that consistent with what you found in your 11 clinical experience?
- 12 A. It is.
- 13 Q. And when we go down to the "Advantages and
- 14 Disadvantages of Low Tar and Nicotine Cigarettes, " do
- 15 you find in your own clinical experience that people
- 16 believe that cigarettes low in tar and nicotine have
- 17 different tobacco ingredients or different kinds of
- 18 filters than other cigarettes?
- 19 A. Yes, but most common they think it's something
- 20 that's been done to the tobacco to remove all the
- 21 harm -- all the chemicals or the substances that
- 22 might cause harm. That's -- they publish along that.
- 23 Q. Do the people that come into your clinic, and
- 24 those who smoke low tar and nicotine cigarettes,
- 25 generally do so because they believe such cigarettes STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 are better for them?
- 2 A. They believe they're safer, yes.
- 3 Q. And if we go to the "KEY HIGHLIGHTS" of this
- 4 research project on behalf of Lorillard, this is on
- 5 page two.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. And I'd like to direct your attention to number
- 8 two and ask you if that's consistent with what you
- 9 heard from people that come into the Mayo nicotine
- 10 clinic.
- 11 A. Yes, it is. Health concerns are their usual
- 12 reason for switching to a low tar and low nicotine
- 13 cigarette. They think that they're safer.
- 14 Q. Can you turn now to a B.A.T document in 1977,
- 15 which is Exhibits 10584 and 10585. 10584 is the
- 16 cover letter. That would be in volume one.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. Are these two documents that you reviewed in
- 19 order to prepare yourself to testify?
- 20 A. Yes, they are.
- 21 Q. And do they form part of the basis of your

```
A. They do.
    Q. And are they consistent with the other documents
24
25
    that you reviewed with regard to the subject matters
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1474
    that are discussed therein?
 1
    A. Yes, they are.
              MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
 3
    Exhibit 10584 and 10585, which is the cover letter
 4
    and the document itself, of B.A.T in 1977.
 5
              MR. BERNICK: No objection.
 6
7
              THE COURT: The court will receive 10584
8
    and 10585.
    BY MR. CIRESI:
9
10 Q. 10584, first of all, is dated April 28th, 1977,
11 has a "RESTRICTED" notation on it, and it indicates
12
    that in the first paragraph that it had been approved
    by Mr. Sheehy, who was the chairman of BATCo. And it
13
    is written by M. L. Short, who is -- excuse me, P. L.
14
     Short, who's the marketing manager of BATCo.
15
16
         If you turn, then, to the document itself, which
    is the next exhibit, the title of the exhibit is
17
18
    "SMOKING AND HEALTH, ITEM 7 : THE EFFECT ON
    MARKETING." And in the first paragraph we see that
19
    this was a senior marketing conference, "Marketing in
20
    the '80s," held at Chelwood in October 1976 and
2.1
    attended by the No. 1s and marketing directors from
23
    North America, Australia, Europe and Brazil.
24
         Do you see the term No. 1s a lot in the
25
    documents, doctor?
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1475
    Α.
         Yes.
 1
    Q. Do you know what that refers to?
 2.
 3
    A. I think it's the presidents or the CEOs.
         And if you go down to "Future Prospects," does
    that document reflect BATCo's position that their
 5
    overall marketing policy was to maintain faith and
 6
7
    confidence in the smoking habit, whether brand choice
8
    is in traditional or not in particular markets?
9
   A. That's what it says.
10 Q. And was this consistent with the type of
11 information you saw in the other defendants'
12 documents during the course of your review?
13
    A. It is.
    Q. And we see in the same paragraph a strategy that
14
    B.A.T tends to employ. "This means that B.A.T will
15
16
    not remain on the defensive, by simply reacting to
17
    alleged 'health' hazards and related competitive
    challenges: instead, we will actively seek out all
19
    worthwhile prospects for brand and product
20
    reassurance in marketing throughout the world."
21
         Now the term "product reassurance," did you
    learn that had a significant meaning to the
23
    defendants regarding their --
24
    A. It's kind of what we talked about as reassuring
25
    the consumer as far as the health risks are
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
```

opinion?

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1476

- concerned, to make a product that -- that appeared to
- be safer and to market that in a way that they
- describe here. It's an overall marketing policy. 3
- Q. And in this document, did B.A.T project out into
- 5 the future with respect to the number of smokers who
- 6 might be moving to the low tar category?
- 7 A. Yes. I believe they said something like over 80
- percent by the early 1990s. I can't find the exact 8
- 9 spot.
- Can you go to page two, please. 10 Q.
- Okay. Yes, "For example, in the U.S.A., it has 11
- 12 been forecast that by 1990 over 80 percent of the
- 13 brands will be in the low tar category."
- 14 Q. Now if you direct your attention, please, to
- 15 page three of this document, does this part of the
- document, which relates to communication, also relate
- 17 to providing consumer reassurance?
- A. Yes. That's the word that's underlined at the 18
- very top. Emphasized. 19
- Q. Let me read this. "All work in this area should 20
- 21 be directed towards providing consumer reassurance
- about cigarettes and the smoking habit. This can be 22
- 23 provided in different ways, e.g. by claimed low
- deliveries, by the perception of low deliveries and 24
- by the perception of 'mildness'. Furthermore, 25 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1477

- advertising for low delivery or traditional brands should be constructed in ways so as not to provoke
- anxiety about health, but to alleviate it, and enable 3
 - the smoker to feel assured about the habit and
- confident in maintaining it over time." 5
- Now doctor, on that type of approach and 6
- 7 philosophy, was that reflected in the defendants'
- 8 documents that you reviewed?
- 9 A. Yes. Over and over again. Another euphemism
- for this is health reassurance. 1.0
- And under the "Goodwill" section it states that 11
- "In the first place, the goodwill of existing brands 12
- 13 must be maintained by a planned approach to reduced
- 14 deliveries, via research and product development.
- 15 Here, we should (a) seek to obtain the edge over
- 16 competitors (b) ensure that smoking satisfaction is
- 17 achieved by franchise smokers within the range of
- smoker 'compensation' of the original product." 18
- 19 What's being discussed there?
- 20 Α. Well it's basically a range of -- the addiction
- 21 range, if you want to think about it that way, where
- 22 there is a level of nicotine delivery that's required
- 23 to keep a person using the product. So there's kind
- of a window, if you will, and the products that they
- 25 design or have designed over the years have focused STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1478

- on that window. Some people would require more
- nicotine, so they'd be on this edge of the window,

- 3 other people would require less. So there is a broad
- 4 window that the products address so that they can
- 5 basically accomplish the goal of providing whoever
- 6 the consumer is with the amount of nicotine required
- 7 to get them hooked.
- 8 Q. Now does this document also discuss compensation
- 9 as an involuntary mechanism of a smoker in modifying
- 10 the method of smoking?
- 11 A. Yes. That's down in the -- oh, the one, two,
- 12 three -- fourth -- fourth paragraph. "This, quote,
- 13 mechanism, end quote, involves involuntary
- 14 modifications in the method of smoking a cigarette."
- 15 Q. And the mechanism they're talking about is
- 16 referring to the previous sentence which talks about
- 17 smoker compensation?
- 18 A. Correct. Most people do this unconsciously or
- 19 subconsciously. They don't -- we have --
- I have some patients who consciously cover up
- 21 the little ventilation holes, but most people don't
- 22 realize that they're even there. If you ask a
- 23 hundred smokers do you realize that they have
- 24 ventilation holes in the -- in the filters, most of
- 25 them don't know that they exist to begin with. I
 - STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 have some patients who will actually dump their
- cigarettes out in the morning and tape up the little
- 3 holes. Now that's a whole different issue. They
- 4 were -- they're aware of the ventilation holes and
- 5 they know what they do. When I was smoking, if I
- 6 wanted to get more -- more nicotine delivered in, I
- 7 just ripped the filter off and turned around and
- 8 smoked it the other way. Just a lot easier that way.
- 9 Q. The ventilation holes, do you learn from your
- 10 clinical experience whether people just inch
- 11 voluntarily covered them up?
- 12 A. Well they can, but most people don't realize
- 13 that they are even there. They're very tiny and
- 14 most -- most people don't even -- they're not aware
- 15 that they are. Depends on what the position of the
- 16 holes are and how the person holds the cigarette.
- 17 Some may occlude them without even knowing it.
- 18 Others do it on purpose.
- 19 Q. Can you direct your attention, doctor, to
- 20 Exhibit 10306.
- 21 A. Which book is it in?
- 22 Q. That's in the same book. I'm sorry. Volume
- 23 one.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. 10306.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. This is another B.A.T document dated 10-12-71
- 3 entitled "A NEW PRODUCT" with a "Private &
- 4 Confidential" notation.
- Is this a document that you've reviewed, sir?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. Is it one of the documents that forms the basis

- 8 for your opinions in this case?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. And is the information here addressing the same
- 11 issues that you saw in other defendants' documents?
- 12 A. Yes, it is.
- MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 14 Exhibit 10306.
- MR. BERNICK: No objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Court will receive 10306.
- 17 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 18 Q. Now if you go to the first page, it says "A NEW
- 19 PRODUCT, " and up in the upper left-hand corner,
- 20 "Private & Confidential," and on the back page you'll
- 21 see Mr. Short's signature, who was the marketing
- 22 director at BATCo -- very hard to make out, but --
- 23 and the date is also back there of 10-2-71 -- excuse
- 24 me, 10-21-71.
- 25 Can you direct your attention, please, back to STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 the first page, doctor.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Now this reflects a visit that BATCo made to the
- 4 United States?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that in the U.S., manufacturers were
- 7 concentrating on low TPM --
- 8 Is that tar particulate matter?
- 9 A. Total particulate matter.
- 10 Q. Total particulate matter. That refers to the
- 11 tar.
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 -- "and Nicotine segment in order to create
- 15 brands with distinctive product features which aim in
- 16 one way or another to reassure the consumer that
- 17 these brands are relatively more, quote, healthy, end
- 18 of quote, than orthodox blended cigarettes like
- 19 VICEROY, MARLBORO and WINSTON. Hence B&W is devoting
- 20 its efforts entirely to the Hi-Fi segment, and its
- 21 two major projects -- VANGUARD and TODAY --
- 22 demonstrate this strategy."
- Now during this period of time, doctor, is this
- 24 document representative of what the other defendants
- 25 were doing with regard to these low tar and low STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 nicotine cigarettes?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. And during this period of time when they were
- lowering the tar and nicotine, were they also
- 5 attempting, based on their documents, to increase the
- 6 physiological effect?
- 7 A. Yes, they were.
- 8 Q. Can you direct your attention to Exhibit 14009.
- 9 That would be in book number two.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. This document is one we've already looked at.
- 12 It's already in evidence, doctor. This is a

```
Lorillard document, presentation by Dr. Spears. Do
   you recall that?
14
15
    A. Yes.
16
    Q. And can you turn to page three, at the bottom,
    and at the bottom of that page is Dr. Spears
17
18
    referencing the issue of tobacco modifications to
    optimize absorption of smoke nicotine?
19
20
    Α.
21
    Q.
         And let me just read part of this document
22
    starting at about the fifth or sixth last line,
    "Technology for increasing...."
23
24
          "Technology for increasing the nicotine content
25
    of cigarettes can be developed through recovery of
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1483
    nicotine lost in tobacco drying operations within two
    years, and new varieties of tobacco can be grown
 3
    which are essentially free of nicotine within two
    years. It is our present intent to develop low
 5
    nicotine brands, with the maximum physiological
    impact, within the next year."
 6
7
         Now did you see other defendant companies
    addressing the issue of lowering the tar and
8
9
    nicotine, but increasing or maintaining the
    psycological kick, if you will, from nicotine?
10
    A.
         Yes, I did.
11
         And during this same period of time were the
12
13
    defendants advertising and attempting to reassure
14
    smokers and capitalize on the, quote, health impact
15
    of lower tar/lower nicotine cigarettes?
16
              MR. BERNICK: Objection, --
17
    A. Yes.
              MR. BERNICK: -- Your Honor. Again, having
18
19
    the witness speak to issues of intent invade the
20
    province of the jury.
              MR. CIRESI: I'll restate it, Your Honor.
21
2.2
              THE COURT: Restate it, please.
23
    BY MR. CIRESI:
24 O. During this same period of time, were defendants
25
    advertising low tar/low nicotine cigarettes with
                  STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
     P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1484
    certain messages?
1
              MR. BERNICK: Your Honor, I'd object to
    that on grounds of lack of foundation. It exceeds
 3
    the scope of expertise of this witness. He's not
 5
    qualified to speak to marketing issues.
 6
              THE COURT: I think you'll have to rephrase
7
    that. If you're talking about from the documents
 8
    he's reviewed, you'll have to address that.
9
              MR. CIRESI: All right. Thank you, Your
10
    Honor.
    BY MR. CIRESI:
11
12
    Q. Did you review certain of the ads of the
    defendants during this period of time?
13
14
   A. Yes, I did.
15
   Q. And did they advertise low tar/low nicotine
16
    cigarettes?
17
    A. Yes, they did.
```

- 18 Q. Okay. And can you look at Exhibit 7069 in
- 19 volume one.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. Is this one of the documents you reviewed?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- 23 Q. And it is a Lorillard ad for True?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And does it relate to low tar/low nicotine? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 A. Yes, it does.
 - Q. And does it relate to the health-reassurance
- 3 issue that you testified about?
- 4 A. Absolutely.
- 5 Q. And does it form part of the basis of your
- 6 opinion in this case?
- 7 A. Yes, it does.
 - MR. CIRESI: We'd offer Exhibit 7069.
- 9 MR. BERNICK: We have no objection, Your
- 10 $\,$ Honor, to the document itself, but I will have an
- 11 objection to this line of examination.
- 12 THE COURT: Court will receive 7069.
- 13 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 14 Q. The ad reads "I'd heard enough to make me decide
- 15 one of two things: quit or smoke True. I smoke
- 16 True. The low tar, low nicotine cigarette. Think
- 17 about it."
- 18 Is this the type of ad that you were referring
- 19 to that dealt with the health reassurance issue,
- 20 doctor?

8

- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- 22 MR. BERNICK: I -- sorry. I'd object to
- 23 this line of examination. I don't believe there's a
- 24 foundation for it. I believe it exceeds the scope of
- 25 his expertise. He's not qualified in marketing.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
- 2 A. When a person has a dependence on nicotine and
- 3 is smoking cigarettes, and they come to this juncture
- 4 that they may be motivated to try to stop smoking,
- 5 that's what we want to try them -- have them try to
- 6 do. To be reassured that there may be another
- 7 alternative which would reassure them as far as their
- 8 health is concerned is -- is really contrary to what
- 9 this man was about to decide to do, apparently was
- about to decide to do, which was to stop smoking.

 And we call that in -- in our field, we call it
- 12 movement through the stages of change. And if we get
- 13 a person to the point of getting ready to stop, then
- 14 we want to try to capitalize on that, and -- and
- 15 that's where this plays into the health reassurance
- 16 for a person who has this problem.
- 17 Q. In your clinical experience, do the patients
- 18 discuss this with you?
- 19 A. Yes, they do.
- MR. BERNICK: Your Honor, I'm sorry to
- 21 interrupt. I have the same objection, and it
- 22 doesn't -- it doesn't really depend upon his

```
1
                                        THE COURT: What are you continuing to
                           2
                              object to, counsel?
                                        MR. BERNICK: I'm continuing to object to
                           3
                              his offering any kind of testimony concerning
                           4
                              marketing or consumer-perception issues because they
                           5
                              go beyond the scope of his expertise.
                           6
                                        THE COURT: That's over -- that's
                           7
                              overruled. I don't think -- I think this is within
                           8
                          9
                              his expertise as he's testified.
                                        MR. CIRESI: Had you finished, doctor?
                          10
                          11
                                        THE WITNESS: I think so.
                          12
                                        MR. CIRESI: All right.
                          13 BY MR. CIRESI:
                              Q. Can you direct your attention to Exhibit 5677.
                          14
                          15
                              Is this another copy sheet or an ad that you reviewed
                              with regard to the health-reassurance issue?
                          16
                              A. Yes, it is.
                          17
                          18
                              Q.
                                   And does this copy of an ad form part of the
                          19
                              basis of your opinion?
                          20
                              A. Yes, it does.
                                        MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we offer Exhibit
                          21
                          22
                              5677.
                          23
                                        MR. BERNICK: No objection to the exhibit,
                          24
                             Your Honor. Subject to Your Honor's prior rulings,
                          25
                              we have a continuing objection to this line of
                                            STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
                              P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
                                      DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                                             1488
                           1
                              examination.
                                        THE COURT: All right. Court will receive
                           2
                              5677.
                           3
                           4
                              Q. States "I switched to less tar. Carlton is
                              lowest in tar and has a light mild taste I really
                           5
                              enjoy! I switched. You can too!"
                           6
                           7
                                   And then it shows a reference down below to
                           8
                              "Carlton is lowest in tar and nicotine," above
                          9
                              that -- I don't know if you can pick it up on the
                          10
                              overhead. Can you read the writing that's above the
                          11 Carlton? You see it on your exhibit, doctor? There
                          12
                              it is. There it is.
                              A. Says "U.S. Government Tested Method Confirms" --
                          13
                          14
                              something -- "King Soft Packs."
                              Q. Is this the type of ad that lends itself to the
                          15
                          16
                              health-reassurance campaign that we saw the
                          17
                              defendants intended to conduct in their documents
                          18
                              that we looked at?
                          19
                                        MR. BERNICK: Your Honor, he's asking the
                          20
                              witness to testify to intent.
                                        THE COURT: Sustained.
                          21
                                        MR. CIRESI: Okay.
                          22
                          23
                                   Is this consistent with the documents that we
                              Q.
                          24
                              saw concerning the health-reassurance campaigns?
                          25 A. Yes, it is.
                                             STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
                              P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
http://legacy.library.ucsf.@du/tid/yqn05a00/pdfndustrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/ffxd0001
```

experience with patients, it depends upon his

Your Honor has already ruled on this matter?

expertise. So can I have a continuing objection if

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1487

24

- 1 Q. Can you direct your attention to Exhibit 8574.
- Α. Okay.
- Is this another ad copy that you reviewed with 3 Ο.
- regard to the health-reassurance strategy --4
- A. Yes, it is. 5
- -- of the defendants? 6 Ο.
- 7 Α. Yes, it is.
- 8 Q. Does it form part of the basis of your opinion?
- 9 A. Yes, it did.
- MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer 10
- Exhibit 8574. 11
- 12 MR. BERNICK: No objection to the exhibit
- 13 itself, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Court will receive 8574. 14
- 15 BY MR. CIRESI:
- Q. This ad reads "National Smoker Study: Merit 16
- 17 Taste Eases Low Tar Decision. 'Enriched Flavor'
- 18 tobacco proving real alternative to high tar smoking.
- 19 "How difficult it is for smokers of high tar
- cigarettes to make a switch to a lower tar brand? 20
- Recent nationwide research confirms MERIT flavor 2.1
- 22 makes the low tar decision easy.
- "Confirmed: 85 percent of MERIT smokers say it 23
- 24 was an easy switch from high tar brands.
- "Confirmed: 9 out of 10 MERIT smokers not 25 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- considering other brands. 1
- "Confirmed: Overwhelming majority of MERIT
- smokers say their former high tar brands weren't 3 4
- missed.
- 5 "And in interviews among current high tar 6 smokers:
- 7 "Confirmed: Majority of high tar smokers rate MERIT taste equal to or better than leading high tar 8
- 9 cigarettes tested! Cigarettes having up to twice the 10
- "Confirmed: Majority of high tar smokers 11 confirm taste satisfaction of low tar MERIT. 12
- 13 "MERIT has proved conclusively that it not only
- 14 delivers the flavor of high tar brands -- but" -- I
- 15 think it says "continues to satisfy."
- A. Yes. 16
- 17 "The ability to satisfy over long periods of Ο.
- 18 time could be the most important evidence to date
- 19 that MERIT science has produced what it claims. The
- 20 first real taste alternative for high tar smokers."
- 21 Is that consistent with the type of documents in
- 22 the marketing campaign that you saw in defendants'
- 23 documents?
- 24 A. Yes, it is.
- 25 Q. And Merit is a Philip Morris cigarette? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 A. Yes, it is.
- Can you now turn to Exhibit 12353. This is an Q.
- ad copy for Vantage cigarette by RJR?

```
Α.
         Yes.
 5
    Q.
         And is this one of the documents that you
    reviewed that forms part of the basis of your opinion
 6
7
    with respect to low tar/low nicotine reassurance
    strategies of the defendants?
8
9
    A. Yes, it is.
              MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we'd offer Exhibit
10
11
     12353.
12
              MR. BERNICK: Oh, I'm sorry. No objection.
              THE COURT: Court will receive 12353.
13
    BY MR. CIRESI:
14
         "How many times have you decided to give up
15
16
    smoking?
17
         "Nobody these days is telling you not to give up
18
    smoking.
19
          "But if you've given it up more times than you'd
20
    like to remember, the chances are you enjoy it too
21
    much to want it give it up at all.
22
         "If you're like a lot of smokers these days, it
23
    probably isn't smoking that you want to give up.
24
    It's some of that tar and nicotine you've been
25
    hearing about.
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1492
          "So you tried cigarettes which were low in tar
1
    and you found yourself checking every once in a while
 2.
    to see if they were still lit. Which drove you right
 3
 4
    back to your regular brand.
5
          "Now, there is Vantage.
          "Vantage cigarettes, either filter or menthol,
 6
7
    deliver considerably less tar and less nicotine than
8
    most cigarettes.
          "But what really makes Vantage special is our
9
10
    special filter which allows the tobacco flavor to
11
    come through.
12
          "Vantage isn't the lowest tar and nicotine
13 cigarette, but it may well be the lowest one you'll
14
    enjoy smoking.
15
          "And that's what makes all the difference."
16
         Is that another example of the advertising that
    was consistent with the defendants' health-
17
18
    reassurance strategy regarding low tar/low nicotine
19
    cigarettes?
20
    A. Yes, it was. One difference, and when you look
21
    at these things you always see things that you didn't
    see before. The difference between this ad and the
23
    one before it is the one before it never mentioned
24
    nicotine. It mentioned tar, but the only place
25
    nicotine was in the Merit ad was in -- in the
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                    1493
    required labeling. This one it has nicotine
 1
    included, but the other one only talked about tar,
 3
    never talked about nicotine at all in the -- in
    the -- in the narrative. Just an observation.
 4
 5
        Can you direct your attention, doctor, to
    Q.
    Exhibit 15287. That would be in volume two.
 6
 7
   A. Okay.
    Q. Is this another ad copy that you reviewed with
```

- respect to the testimony you're giving in court? 9 10 A. Yes, it is. 11 Q. And does it form a part of the basis of your 12 opinion in this case?
- 14 MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we'd offer Exhibit 15 15287.
- MR. BERNICK: No objection. 16
- THE COURT: Court will receive 15287. 17
- 18 BY MR. CIRESI:

A. It would.

13

- 19 Q. "AT LAST, A low tar, in quotes, and nicotine
- cigarette with flavor enough to say...'taste me.' .
- "New Doral. A whole new kind of low 'tar' and 2.1
- 22 nicotine cigarette with a whole new kind of filter
- 23 system. Cellulon fiber to reduce 'tar' and
- 2.4 nicotine...and a strange-looking polyethylene chamber
- 25 with baffles and air channels. You'd need a STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953

DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- scientist to explain it. But Doral says it all in
- just two words: 'taste me'." 3
- Is this the type of ad that was consistent with the defendants' low tar/low nicotine health 4
- reassurance campaign?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- Then the last ad, doctor, could you turn to 7 Q.
- 15366. 8
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 This is another Vantage ad, and that's also RJR. Q.
- 11 Is this one of the ad copies that you reviewed which
- 12 forms a part of the basis of your opinion?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And did you rely on this for your review of the 14
- 15 defendants' documents?
- 16 A. Yes.
- MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we'd offer Exhibit 17
- 18 15366.
- 19 MR. BERNICK: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Court will receive 15366.
- 21 BY MR. CIRESI:
- Q. And does this incorporate, doctor, some of the 22
- 23 ad copy that we saw in Exhibit 12353, the other
- 2.4 Vantage?
- 25 A. Yes, it does.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 Q. Okay.
- A. 2 Very similar.
- And again, is this consistent with the 3
- defendants' position with respect to their
- reassurance low tar/low nicotine cigarette marketing?
- A. Yes, it is. 6
- Can you turn, doctor, to Exhibit 11203, which is 7 Q.
- 8 in volume one.
- 9 A. Okay. Okay.
- 10 Q. This is a B.A.T document dated January 19th,
- 11 1977. Is this one of the documents you reviewed in
- 12 this case?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.

- 14 Q. And does it form part of the basis of your
- 15 opinion in this case?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.
- 17 Q. And does it deal with the subject matter that
- 18 you found in the other defendants' documents that
- 19 you're testifying upon here?
- 20 A. Yes, it does.
- 21 MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 22 Exhibit 11203.
- MR. BERNICK: No objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Court will receive 11203.
- 25 BY MR. CIRESI:

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1496

- 1 Q. This is dated January 19th, 1977, "SMOKING
- 2 PRODUCTS RESEARCH, 25th October, 1976 to 21st
- 3 January, 1977." And the distribution list we see
- 4 down below to Dr. Ayers, A-y-r-e-s, and D. J. Wood.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Can you direct your attention to paragraph
- 7 three, "Smoking Behavior of Low Delivery Cigarettes."
- 8 "Two cigarette brands, 'Now', paren, U.S.A.,
- 9 close paren, and 'Reemtsma No. 1', with very low
- 10 deliveries of TPM and nicotine, have been smoked by
- 11 subjects whose manner of smoking was monitored. The
- 12 subjects smoked two brands -- these two brands with
- 13 greater intensity than they smoked cigarettes of more
- 14 normal delivery, taking larger puffs at more frequent
- 15 intervals. From each brand, the subjects on average
- 16 took more than twice the volume of smoke that was
- 17 taken by a standard smoking machine. This emphasizes
- the misleading nature of published smoke deliveries
- 19 when dealing with cigarettes of this type."
- Now the published smoke deliveries are the ones
- 21 that we saw in the ads?
- 22 A. That's correct, in the little box.
- 23 Q. And the smoking machine, is that what you were
- 24 describing earlier in your testimony?
- 25 A. That's correct. That's the FTC method, the STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 smoking machines.
- Q. And in your review of others of the defendants'
- documents, did you find that they also had this type
- 4 of information with regard to the misleading nature
- 5 of those deliveries?
- 6 A. Absolutely.
- 7 Q. Can you turn to Exhibit 11390, which is in the
- 8 same volume, doctor. Actually it's the next exhibit.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. Is this another B.A.T document prepared by Mr.
- 11 Green, who was a senior scientist, dated 26th of
- 12 August, 1977?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And does this also deal with the misleading
- 15 nature of the advertised deliveries?
- 16 A. Yes. It's under item four.
- 17 Q. And is this one of the documents that you
- 18 reviewed in this litigation for the purpose of

- testifying? 19 20 A. Yes, it is. 21 Q. And it is --22 Is it one of the documents that you have relied 23 on in part in forming the basis of your opinions? 24 A. Yes, it is. MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer 25 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT 1498 Exhibit 11390. MR. BERNICK: No objection. 2. THE COURT: Court will receive 11390. 3 4 BY MR. CIRESI: 5 Q. First of all, it's dated August 26th. Do you see that up in the right-hand corner? And it says 6 "SUGGESTED QUESTIONS FOR CAC.III." Do you know what 7 9 A. I can't remember right now. Chairman's Advisory Committee. 10 Q. Okay. And --11 Which I assume is the chairs -- chair --12 Α. 13 chairman I think is the term. 14 Q. All right. Chairman's Advisory Committee of 15 B.A.T; is that right? 16 A. Right. All right. Can you look down at number four. 17 Q. "Should we market cigarettes intended to reassure the 18 19 smoker they are safer without assuring ourselves that 20 indeed they are so or are not less safe? For example 21 should we 'cheat' smokers by 'cheating' league 22 tables? If we are prepared to accept that the 23 government has created league tables to encourage lower delivery cigarette smoking and further if we 24 25 make league table claims as implied health claims --STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT or allow health claims to be so implied -- should we use our superior knowledge of our product to design them so that they give low league table positions but 3 higher deliveries on human smoking?" 4 5 First of all, what's a league table? A. A league table is -- is kind of like the Federal 6 Trade Commission ratings, but it was -- this is the 7 tables that were used in -- in Britain. So it's the 9 rating of the smoking machine, if you will. 10 Q. So that's the tar and the nicotine that we saw 11 in the ads. 12 A. That's correct, yes. 13 Q. Can you go down to the next paragraph then, last 14 one. "Are smokers entitled to expect that cigarettes shown as lower delivery in league tables will in fact 16 deliver less to their lungs than cigarettes shown 17 higher?" 18 Now the preceding document, doctor, that showed 19 that on the low tar/low nicotine cigarette, people 20 didn't get less; is that right? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. And based upon your experience in your clinic,
- http://legacy.library.ucsf.@du/tid/yqtn05a00/pdfndustrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/ffxd0001

23 is that consistent with your experience?

- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And did the defendants have knowledge of that STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 for many years based on your review of their
- 2 documents?
- 3 A. Yes. This is over 20 years old.
- 4 Q. Did they ever advertise --
- 5 Did you see any advertisements in their
- 6 documents, "The tables are wrong. You get more tar
- 7 and nicotine than set forth on these documents?" Did
- 8 they ever say anything like that?
- 9 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 10 Q. Do you know if they ever admitted that publicly
- 11 to tell the consuming public that's what the fact
- 12 was?
- 13 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 14 MR. BERNICK: Objection, lack of
- 15 foundation, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Yes. You'll have to rephrase
- 17 that, counsel as it relates to the documents he
- 18 reviewed.
- 19 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 20 Q. To your knowledge, based on the documents that
- 21 you reviewed in this case, did you ever see any of
- these defendants tell smokers of low tar/low nicotine
- 23 cigarettes, "You're going to get more than what's
- 24 stated?" Ever say that?
- 25 A. No. Quite the opposite.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 $\,$ Q. Did they ever advertise and say, based on your
- 2 review of the documents, "Smokers, you're
- 3 compensating and your disease risk is not going
- 4 down?" Did they ever say anything like that?
- 5 A. Not at all.
- 6 Q. Did they ever say, based on your review of the
- 7 documents, "You should have a fully informed choice,
- 8 and we want to tell you what we know about
- 9 compensation?" Anything like that?
- 10 MR. BERNICK: Your Honor, I object. At
- 11 this point Mr. Ciresi is arguing, he's not asking a
- 12 question. Object to the form of the question.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- 14 Q. Did you see any of their documents that ever
- 15 said that "We want you to have a fully informed
- 16 choice?" Any document like that?
- MR. BERNICK: Same -- same problem.
- 18 Indeed, this now is an even broader question and
- 19 broader argument.
- 20 THE COURT: It's broad enough so it's not
- 21 argumentative, so I'll let him --
- MR. CIRESI: I'll withdraw it, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: All right.
- 24 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 25 Q. Doctor, can you direct your attention to Exhibit STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 11564?
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Philip Morris document dted seven -- September
- 4 17th, 1975, from Barbro Goodman, a scientist, to the
- 5 director of research and development, Mr. Leo F.
- 6 Meyer.
- 7 Is this one of the documents that you reviewed?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 Q. And the subject is "Marlboro -- Marlboro Lights
- 10 Study Delivery Data?"
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And does this document form part of the basis of
- 13 your opinion?
- 14 A. Yes, it does.
- MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 16 Exhibit 11564.
- 17 MR. BERNICK: No objection.
- THE COURT: Court will receive 11564.
- 19 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 20 Q. Doctor, I'd like to direct your attention to the
- 21 first part of the discussion section of this
- 22 document. "The smoker profile data reported earlier
- 23 indicated that Marlboro Lights were not smoked like
- 24 regular Marlboros. There were differences in the
- 25 size and frequency of the puffs, with larger volumes STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- taken on Marlboro Lights by both regular Marlboro
 smokers and Marlboro Lights smokers."
- Is this the type of data that was consistent
- 4 with what you were finding in the defendants' files?
- 5 A. Yes. This is called compensation.
- 6 Q. Now this appears to be a small study. I think
- 7 they had nine smokers in this study; is that right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. Next sentence.
- 11 Q. In each of the defendants' files, did you find
- 12 knowledge of this compensation issue?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Can you turn, doctor, to Exhibit 10683, which is
- 15 a B.A.T document.
- 16 A. Say the number again.
- 17 Q. 10683.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. I believe this document is in evidence, but I
- 20 should check. It is.
- 21 Could you direct your attention, doctor, to that
- 22 page which bears the last three Bates numbers 499.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. And in your review of the defendants' documents,
- 25 did you find some of the employees of the defendants $$\operatorname{STIREWALT}$\& \operatorname{ASSOCIATES}$$
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 question whether compensation did in fact take place?
- 2 A. Sure. There were -- there were some who did
- 3 that
- 4 Q. Okay. And if you look at this document, in the

- 5 middle of page 499 is there reference to that type of
- 6 opinions held by some within the tobacco companies?
- 7 A. That's correct, yes.
- 8 Q. And it states as follows: "The conclusion must
- 9 be that while puffing, this smoker is increasing his
- 10 puff volume -- and thus his tar intake, possibly from
- 11 the current machine delivery, approximately 19
- 12 milligrams tar, up to the 35 milligrams or so of his
- 13 youth. Many people will tell you authoritatively
- 14 that, on sound statistical analysis of well designed
- 15 experiments, low tar smokers do not compensation.
- 16 Rubbish. The findings are valid, but the choice of smokers probably was not."
- And he goes on to state, "Observation of my
- 19 mother-in-law tends to confirm" that, and he talks
- 20 about a little test he conducted on his
- 21 mother-in-law.
- Now, in looking at these documents, did you have
- 23 any doubt that all of the defendants knew about the
- 24 effect of compensation in low tar/low nicotine
- 25 cigarettes?

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1505

- 1 A. They all knew, and for a long time.
- Q. And in this document here, Mr. Greig, when he
- 3 conducted the experiment on his mother-in-law, he
- 4 found the same thing; isn't that right?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And he actually arrived at a conclusion about
- 7 providing the satisfaction and keeping some of the
- 8 smokers unsatisfied; didn't he?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. Could you turn to the last page, the summary. I
- 11 believe one of the defense attorneys referred to King
- 12 James and his famous "Counterblaste to Tobacco" in
- 13 the 1600s. Let me read the summary of Mr. Greig's
- 14 memo. "So -- give them what they seem to want taste
- 15 and value. And always remember that, while King
- 16 James I issued his famous 'Counterblaste to Tobacco',
- in 1604, it is nicer from our point of view to
- 18 remember Oscar Wilde's words in 'The Picture of
- 19 Dorian Gray' in 1891:
- 20 "'A cigarette is the perfect type of a perfect
- 21 pleasure.
- "It is exquisite, and it leaves one unsatisfied.
- 23 What more can one want.'.
- "Let us provide the exquisiteness, and hope that
- $25\,$ they, our consumers, continue to remain unsatisfied.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 All we would want then is a larger bag to carry the 2 money to the bank."
- 3 Did you see other sentiments like that in
- 4 defendants' documents, sir?
- 5 A. That was pretty eloquent, but there are
- 6 sentiments like that.
- 7 Q. Can you direct your attention to Exhibit 12648.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. Let me go back to the B.A.T memo for a moment,

- 10 the money in the bag. B.A.T, that was the same
- 11 company that sent the people over in 1958 to do that
- 12 survey of everybody, and they found out that
- 13 cigarette caused lung cancer?
- 14 A. Yeah, that's correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. Did you find any documents or review any
- documents in B.A.T's files which said maybe we should
- 17 have some concern about the people who are dying from
- 18 this disease? Any -- any expressions of concern you
- 19 found?
- MR. BERNICK: Your Honor, this again is
- 21 argumentative.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- 23 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 24 Q. Let me direct your attention, then, doctor, to
- 25 Exhibit 12648. This is an RJR document dated July STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 25th, 1983 by J. H. Robinson, one of their top
- 2 scientists, to Dr. Alan Rodgman, another of their top
 3 scientists.
- 4 Is this a document that you've reviewed?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.

7

- 6 Q. Does it form part of the basis of your opinion?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- 8 Q. And does this relate to how smokers smoke in
- 9 relationship to the FTC smoking machine that you
- 10 demonstrated illustratively for the jurors?
- 11 A. Yes, it does.
- MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we would offer
- 13 Exhibit 12648.
- MR. BERNICK: No objection.
- THE COURT: Court will receive 12648.
- 16 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 17 Q. I'd like to direct your attention to the second
- 18 paragraph, right below the word "The cotinine
- 19 determination, " and it says "The paper itself...."
- " The paper itself expresses what we in
- 21 Biobehavioral have 'felt' for quite some time. That
- 22 is, smokers smoke differently than the FTC machine
- and may very well smoke to obtain a certain level of nicotine in their bloodstream."
- 25 Is that consistent with what you found in the STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- defendants' documents with regard to --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- the difference between the FTC machine and
- 4 smoking?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.
- 6 Q. And if you go down to the final paragraph,
- 7 there's the following reference. "Finally, the data
- 8 reported in this paper reminded us of the HMSM
- 9 experiment done with the German Camel and Marlboro
- 10 cigarettes. While there were certain imperfections
- 11 in this experiment, you may recall that the smokers
- 12 apparently obtained almost exactly the same amount of 13 nicotine no matter which of the four cigarettes they
- 14 smoked. This was one of the first indications that

- 15 smokers may in fact smoke to obtain a certain level
- of nicotine in their bloodstream."
- 17 And again, is that consistent with the type of
- 18 documents you found in the defendants' files?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- 20 Q. And this document goes back to 1983; correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. I'd like to direct your attention, doctor, to
- 23 Exhibit 11089.
- 24 A. Eleven --
- 25 Q. 089.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

1509

- 1 A. 089. Okay.
- 2 Q. This document I believe is in evidence. No?
- 3 Oh.
- Is this one of the documents you reviewed,
- 5 doctor?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. And it's a BATCo, Ltd. document dated 27th of
- 8 June, 1978?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. And does this document form part of the basis of
- 11 your opinions?
- 12 A. Yes, it does.
- MR. CIRESI: Your Honor, we'd offer Exhibit
- 14 11089.
- MR. BERNICK: No objection.
- THE COURT: Court will receive 11089.
- 17 BY MR. CIRESI:
- 18 Q. And does this also deal with the issues of
- 19 compensation and the difference between smoking by an
- 20 individual smoker and smoke yields off of an FTC
- 21 machine?
- 22 A. Yes, it does.
- 23 Q. Okay. Can you direct your attention to the
- 24 first page and about halfway through the first
- 25 paragraph. "If, for example, the smoker takes more STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 puffs or larger puffs from a lower brand, then it is
- 2 possible for him to take the same or even greater
- 3 weights of tar and nicotine from the lower delivery
- 4 brand than from his own brand. This process of
- 5 adjusting the delivery of a brand by changing the way
- 6 in which it is smoked by a smoker is referred to as
- 7 'Compensation'."
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. That's the issue we've been discussing?
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. And this is a 1978 document of B.A.T; correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And it's consistent with what you saw in the
- 14 defendants' documents.
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And if you turn to the next page, page two,
- 17 there's reference to the observations made by
- 18 the B.A.T's -- BATCo scientists between a smoker
- 19 smoking a cigarette and the smoking patterns on a

- 20 smoking machine. Do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- 22 Q. The top of the page. "During the last 10
- 23 years," goes back to 1968, "smoking pattern data have
- 24 been recorded from several hundreds of smokers in
- 25 Southampton." Now I believe Southampton is one of STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- the research facilities of BATCo?
- 2 A. Yes. It's in England.
- ${\tt 3}\,{\tt Q}\,.\,$ "No smoker has yet been observed who smokes with
- 4 the same pattern as a smoking machine."
- 5 Is that consistent with what you know about 6 smoking?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. "For the purpose of this discussion and on the
- 9 basis of the standard machine smoke deliveries,
- 10 compensation may be defined as:
- "Subconscious changes made to the smoking
- 12 pattern by a smoker in an attempt, which may or may
- 13 not be successful, to equalize the deliveries of
- 14 products which have different deliveries when smoked
- 15 by a machine under standard conditions."
- 16 Is that the concept as you understand it,
- 17 doctor?
- 18 A. That is compensation.
- 19 Q. And is that the concept as it was defined and
- 20 articulated in others of the defendants' documents?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And is there a further statement that the
- 23 numerous experiments that have been carried out over
- 24 the last ten years have been confirmed in Hamburg and
- 25 Montreal? In the third full paragraph. "Numerous STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 experiments...."
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. "Numerous experiments have been carried out in
- 4 Hamburg, Montreal and Southampton within the company,
- 5 as well as many other experiments by research workers
- 6 in independent organizations, that show that
- 7 generally smokers do change their smoking patterns in
- 8 response to changes in the machine smoked delivery of cigarettes."
- 10 Again, that's consistent with what you found, 11 sir?
- 12 A. Yes, it is.
- 13 Q. And can you turn to page nine. And is there an
- 14 expression there of the thought that lower delivery
- 15 cigarettes are really not delivering lower tar?
- 16 A. Yes, but I can't find it right off.
- 17 Q. If you could direct your attention to "it is
- 18 difficult to ignore...."
- 19 A. Yes, there it is.
- 20 Q. Let me read that. "It is difficult to ignore
- 21 the advice of Health Authorities who advise smokers
- 22 to give up smoking or change to a lower delivery
- 23 brand, but there is now sufficient evidence to
- 24 challenge the advice to change to a lower delivery

brand, at least in the short-term. In general a STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- majority of habitual smokers compensate for changed
 - delivery, if they change to a lower delivery brand
- than their usual brand. If they choose is a lower
- delivery brand which has a higher tar to nicotine 4
- ratio than their usual brand, paren, which is often 5
- the case with lower delivery products, close paren, 6
- smokers will in fact increase the amounts of tar and 7
- gas phase that they take in, in order to get the same 8
- amount of nicotine. More realistic advice to smokers 9
- would be to choose a brand with a lower tar to 10
- 11 nicotine ratio which gives them the satisfaction that
- they require in the lowest amount of smoke taken in. 12
- 13 However, as mentioned above, there are problems in
- 14 producing cigarettes with changed tar to nicotine
- 15 ratios that are acceptable to smokers."
- 16 Do you find that to be consistent with opinions
- 17 held by the defendants?
- 18 Α. Yes.

6

- 19 Q. Can you turn to the next page, then, and is
- 20 there a concern expressed by the authors of this
- 21 report as to what would happen to their business if
- 22 they lowered the nicotine below the threshold
- pharmacological dosage requirement? 23
- 24 A. Yes, and that would be the smokers would -- they
- 25 say would -- the smoking habit would be rejected by STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- the majority of smokers. 1
 - Q. Let me read that. "If delivery levels are
- 3 reduced too guickly or eventually to a level which is
- so low that the nicotine is below the threshold of 4
- pharmacological activity then it is likely that the 5 smoking habit would be rejected by the majority of
- 7 smokers. It is not known where this threshold
- between just acceptable and rejection lies. It is up 8
- to the manufacturers to survey their territories to 9
- 10 determine where the cut off point is for customers as
- 11 the acceptable delivery level varies from country to
- 12 country (for example the levels in Germany are about
- 13 half the levels in Great Britain).

14 "Medical pressures may force manufacturers to change the range of their product deliveries. It is 15 16 up to us to modify the products in such a way that they do not lose their appeal. However, there may be 17 18 practical lower limits to certain of the delivery

19 products beyond which the product would be rejected."

20 Now doctor, during the period 1960s, '70s, the 21 '80s, even up to today, have the manufacturers, based

- 22 on your review of the documents, looked at reducing
- 23 tar and nicotine while at the same time maintaining
- 24 the psycological kick to keep smokers addicted?
- A. Yes, they have. And the technology that we 25 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 talked about is more free base nicotine.
- 2 Q. Doctor, in your opinion, what impact have low
- 3 tar/low nicotine cigarettes had on the public health?
- 4 A. It has -- it has encouraged people who would
- 5 otherwise try to stop smoking to continue to smoke.
- 6 It's reassured people that they're smoking a safer
- 7 cigarette when they really are not. So it's been
- 8 detrimental to the public health.
- 9 Q. Based on your education, training, experience
- 10 and expertise in the field of smoking and health, and
- 11 the defendants' documents, do you have a opinion to a
- 12 reasonable degree of medical certainty whether
- 13 nicotine is an addictive drug?
- 14 A. It absolutely is.
- 15 Q. And based on your education, training,
- 16 experience and expertise in the field of smoking and
- 17 health, and the defendants' documents, do you have a
- 18 opinion to a reasonable degree of medical certainty
- 19 whether the defendants knew they were selling an
- 20 addictive drug?
- 21 A. They absolutely were. And they knew.
- 22 Q. And based on your experience, training,
- 23 expertise, and a review of the defendants' documents,
- 24 do you have a opinion to a reasonable scientific
- 25 certainty whether nicotine in free base form in smoke STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 maximizes the potential to addict smokers?
- 2 A. It does.
- 3 Q. Based upon your experience, training and
- 4 expertise, and on your review of the defendants'
- 5 documents, do you have a opinion with scientific -- a
- 6 reasonable degree of scientific certainty, whether
- 7 the defendants intentionally misrepresented the
- 8 health risks of smoking by maintaining low tar/low
- 9 nicotine cigarettes as health-reassurance products?
- MR. BERNICK: Your Honor, the same
- 11 objection as before. I believe the court has ruled 12 on this.
- 13 THE COURT: I think the question is a
- 14 little different, counsel. I'll allow him to answer.
- THE COURT: Would you repeat the question?
- 16 Q. Yes. Whether the defendants intentionally
- 17 misrepresented the health risks of smoking and
- 18 marketing low tar/low nicotine cigarettes as health-19 reassurance products.
- MR. BERNICK: The same objection as before.
- 21 This invades the province of the jury and exceeds his
- 22 expertise. This is a matter for the jury to decide.
- 23 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 24 Q. Do you have an opinion based upon your
- 25 experience and expertise, your training, and the STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
 - P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953 DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT

- 1 defendants' documents, whether or not the defendants
- 2 represented that low tar/low nicotine cigarettes were
- 3 health-reassurance products?
- 4 A. Yes, they did.
- 5 Q. And based upon your experience, training and

```
expertise, and your review of the defendants'
 6
7
    documents, do you have an opinion -- an opinion
    whether the defendants, during the course of the past
8
9 40 years, have followed a strategy of creating doubt
    about the health risks of smoking?
10
11
         They absolutely have.
        And based upon your experience, training and
12
    Q.
13
    expertise and upon your review of the defendants'
14
    documents, do you have a opinion to a reasonable
15
    degree of scientific certainty, medical certainty,
    whether the defendants' actions were a substantial
16
17
    contributing cause to people smoking?
18
    A. It was.
19
              MR. BERNICK: Objection, Your Honor, lack
    of foundation.
20
21
              THE COURT: No, you may answer that.
22 A.
        Yes, it was.
23 Q. And do you have a opinion, doctor, based upon
24 your experience, training and expertise, whether free
25 base nicotine can enter the bloodstream faster
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953
            DIRECT EXAMINATION - DR. RICHARD HURT
                                                   1518
    than --
1
    A. Yes.
 3
    Q.
         -- protonated nicotine?
        Yes, it can.
 4
    Α.
              MR. CIRESI: Thank you, doctor. I have no
 5
 6
    further questions.
7
              THE COURT: We'll adjourn for the day,
8 reconvene tomorrow morning at 9:30.
9
             THE CLERK: Court stands adjourned.
10
              (Court recesses.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                   STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
```

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953